

Mardi Bennett's fixation on Seroxat campaigners and the Seroxat litigation:

Here she talks about the Online Seroxat Support Group, The Seroxat User Group, Bob Fiddaman, Seroxat camapaigner and the solicitors handling the Seroxat litigation in the UK.

All posts created by Tuesday1st, otherwise known as Mardi Bennett.

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/15740>

The numbers in the litigation have already been culled to what the Lawyers think are the ones most likely to succeed in claiming - And many of those dropped are possibly the ones not well enough to adiquately speak out about the problems they have incured or disabilites they have been left with -

But even if they do get a payout - the UK courts are never over generous and the government is trying to curb the litigation culture here in the UK - Blair will probably follow Bush's lead and try and cap compensation for Medical Negligence cases -

We think the reason for the case being filed under the Consumer Protection Act 1987 is because they could not get legal aid for a Medical Negligence case - Harvey is already receiving funding from the Legal Aid Board although he never mentions this - only that it is funded by private medical insurance -

Because it is not being heard as a Med Neg case but being persude under the consumer law - basically they are saying it is a faulty product - they may only get reimbursement of the cost of the drug - and those who had free prescription will not be eligable for any payment -

On the other hand IF a reasonable payout is forthcoming those who rely on SSP or IS will find that their benefits will be stopped until such times as the funds are used up - They will not be able spend for spending sake and will have to account to the Benefit agencies how they have used the money to get back into the benefit system -

We were aware of these problems three years ago and tried to raise awareness about it - The result was Sarah moving her board to Yahoo - then taking it out completely to cover her inprudent posting - Derek followed by deleating the archives of his board - They were a record of all the discussions - about voting in the commitee of the second Lawyer backed SUG board and the animosity that followed -

Perhaps the following will be helpful in clarifying the irregularities of the SeroxatUserGroup's - I was on the boards before they exihisted and watched them evolve - Someone asked me about it a while ago and I wrote out the following 'potted history' of the SUG boards -

#### SUGS Boards History

When I first arrived on the boards early 2002 I landed in Joey's lap on the ProzacAwareness board - That is where I met Paula - she took me to the her board which was the first UK SSRI support board and where I met Derek Scott who was posting there - This was before he opened his board - but - after he had been banned from the American boards - I agreed to moderate on Paula's board and am still involved there - Derek then opened his board the first Seroxat\_SeroxatUserGroup

-

When Derek opened his board Paula and I were two of his first members - He used Paula's board as a poaching ground for members for his board - Sarah V --who had arrived on the ProzacAwareness board and came to Paula's-- was also poached by Derek - In her opening post on his board she said she was in the legal profession and he received her with open arms because of this fact - as his one aim and single issue rant was to sue GSK -

Mean while - Hugh James --after liaising in 2001 with the American Lawyers handling the American Paxil class action-- was already actively advertising in the UK for people who were on Seroxat - If they were reporting problems HE told them what was wrong and enlisted them in the class action - Derek had already made contact with HJ and Sarah was enlisted into the litigation issues on the board - Almost from the start on Derek's board when new members joined they were given the Lawyers detail in the welcome message - before any questions were answered or advice given with regard drug problems -

Hugh James employed the PR company 'Goodrelations' to market the UK class action - discussions were held about a Web site and support board for members of the class action - Derek - Sarah - Janice - Andrew and Maddie were involved in this - There were disagreements --as far as I can ascertain-- Derek did not want to relinquish HIS control of HIS board --which I think as it was established with a good number of members the Lawyers wanted to link to their web site-- the Lawyers wanted Sarah to be involved because of her legal back ground - whether this was pre-ordained or not is unclear -

The result was Derek and Maddie leaving the committee - Derek maintaining his own board and the second SeroxatUserGroup support board being opened under Sarah Venn leadership - along with the SeroxatUserGroup web site which was meta linked directly to the Hugh James web site - It could not be seen to be too closely linked - But there is no doubt that the Lawyers set up the Seroxat support group as a trawl for clients - we have copies of the minutes of the first SUGS group committee meeting on Hugh James headed paper -

To Gain entry to Sarah's SeoxatUserGroup support board you had to first fill out a form on the Hugh James web site - There is still speculation as to the real nature of Sarah's involvement with the Lawyers - Still cause for concern - the fact that when she joined Claire's group with the statement that "she is no longer associated with the Lawyers" - did nothing to detract from the fact that she was still first point of contact for the @mail counselling on their web site - and was this an admission that she WAS previously associated with them ? Or was she trying to distance herself for appearances sake and to get people on side again ? or what ? - Either way it was a totally unnecessary statement to friends on a web board !! -

The animosity between Derek and Sarah caused by the split of the committee and the forming of the second group has been on going ever since - Derek who had not liked links to other boards being posted - Possibly because he didn't want his members finding out their was help out there to assist them to get off the drugs so they weren't dependant on him - Possibly because he didn't want his members to know he had been banned from the most prominent - revered and active SSRI boards on the net - because of his attitude and calling the owners of the other boards "autocrats" - I can't say which - but he became obsessive about keeping his board a closed board - Sarah

followed suit in keeping her board closed -

- Keeping their members almost isolated and captive on their boards and their membership figures up in competition of each other and growing was more important than the well-being of their members - Autocratic oneupmanship at it's worst -

The most effective way to achieve this is to start or encourage --or at least not quell-- the spread of rumours and gossip - Letting their members think that the net is rife with viruses - that other boards are full of predators and monsters - by maligning the owners or casting aspersions about their knowledge and the advice being given and the people who promote it - It's works -

Everyone has an allegiance to the board and owner who scooped them from their drug induced isolation and gave them a safe harbour - that is human nature - But some owners --mostly those on the UK boards-- use and turn this to their advantage to keep control - When control is beginning to slip they use other methods to keep it - succour - guilt - fear of banishment - ostracizing and bullying -

This is wrong and harmful - I have watched it happen - and the havoc it wreaks - and the long term effects it has on the members - Especially because of the mistrust - fear and doubts it instils - Which ultimately leaves those members affected confused - scared - unable to trust anyone or judge and believe what is sound advice - This in turn can have a profound effect on their emotional well-being and a detrimental effect on their long term prospects of recovery and mental health -

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/15827>

I quite agree - especially when it puts the users of THAT particular drug at further risk because of the complacent ignorance of a medical profession - who when asked "How a drug of this class works?" - can only reply with placating smug smiles and statements such as

"We don't know how they work - but we know they do!"

But ultimately who is exactly is at fault for destroying the public's confidence in this particular drug ?

The Pharma companies are big - powerful - financially secure and obscenely rich - They are also known to be more than frugal with the truth when presenting statements and trial results about their products before the licensing bodies -

In the UK the Government has seen fit to put the Pharma Companies financially in charge of the body that licenses their drugs -

The Pharma Companies cover their backs well by placing the blame for any ADRs squarely on the shoulders of the patients - ADRs --Adverse Drug Reactions-- are explained away in pre marketing literature as a result of "The dose not being high enough!" which leads to increased amounts of the questionable drugs being prescribed - or after marketing as "Worsening of the patients illness!" or "The emergence of an underlying secondary illness!" which leads to additional bolt on drugs being prescribed to control the ADR side effects -

---

If there isn't a diagnosis that fits the ADR symptom - the Pharma companies just invent one - worse than that - they actually pay marketing companies to do it for them - They also find different angles to increase the marketability of the drug and new ingenious uses -- usually as a result of the distressing suffered ADRs -- so that when the initial license runs out they can apply for a new license under a different category -

After a drug is licensed the licensing authorities rely on feed back --in the UK the yellow card systems-- from those prescribing the drug to keep abreast of both it's efficacy and safety - Yet the government has left this feed back mechanism in the hands of a medical profession who are undereducated in pharmaceuticals - over worked - short of time and seduced by the Pharma reps into believing that it's the patients fault not a drug problem -

If an ADR is already listed the complacent - overworked - short of time medical professionals don't see the need to report it - after all it's not compulsory - the Government has left it up to them to comply voluntarily - Presumably thinking --because the medical profession are qualified - revered pillars of the community - as dictated by a very long history -- that they will behave responsibly and do the right thing -

Most Medical Professionals coerced and conditioned by this illustrious history --going back beyond medieval times when it was only royalty - church clerics - legal professionals - financiers and the medical profession who were educated-- feel they are beyond questioning about their treatment or the emerging ADRs - They ignore patients concerns and symptoms choosing to consider them to be secondary to the illness or somatic - because it suits them -

And after the all - they have the backing of the highly qualified pharmaceutical company employees who have carried out extensive tests and studies and their company reps who assure them the drugs are the answer to every ones problems - The safety net of the MCA / MHRA and the information provided in their Dr's bible the British National Formulary or MIMS desk drug directory - So what on earth would a mere patient know about what is wrong with them ? How dare they question ! But question they dared and their relatives questioned as well -

Getting no answers they start enquiring outside realms of their medical practitioners offices - They find that medical professionals in the field of psychiatry and pharmaceuticals are already documenting their concerns about the drugs - their efficacy and safety - their ability to change a persons way of thinking - their personality - their substance and not for the best in all cases - In some the drugs are bringing about bazaar and very out of character behaviour - In some cases sinister and lethal behaviour - Realizing that the drug may well be the problem and still wanting answers they search further and find that there is already an ongoing legal class action about this particular drug in the USA - So they seek advice of a litigious nature -

To their surprise they find that a UK Lawyer is already involved - one of the ones who specialize - who look for the opportunity and exploit it - After all it's not the first time a drug has been at fault - look at thalidomide - that was quite a good earner - Still is - for those who took up the cause - In his words the Lawyer is "shaking the tree's" - He employ's a PR company - they make sure the media print a few small articles - advertise - looking for people on the drug - Those who contact the Lawyer are asked questions --designed to lead to the right answers-- if they report any symptoms - HE proffers the diagnosis of ADR and enlists them in the class action -

---

But this is not going fast enough - the Lawyer needs more clients - luckily he has been approached by a person who has set up a web site - A UserGroup dedicated to the drug and its side effects - a person looking for a quick buck - hell bent on suing the drugs manufacturer - The Lawyer intervenes and coerces --little effort needed-- the owner to give out his details to recruit members for the class action - The Lawyer wants to make it an Official UserGroup web site channelling new people to enlist in the class action before they can gain access to the forum - but after the inaugural meeting - due to personal differences between those voted in to lead it and their prize requisite --a victim with a legal background-- and their chosen spokes person - the web site falters - So a second UserGroup using an almost identical name is set up -

The owner of the original UserGroup web site had already encouraged his members to go to their local press whilst he took a back seat - letting others test the water and take the risks - But he wants his group to be the best - to have more publicity - to lead the client recruitment - Articles had already been printed in the provincial press with out warnings about the dangers of abruptly stopping medication - the press had taken their first irresponsible bite of the golden story - Following the London launch of the Lawyer backed official UserGroup the big media follow suit - TV and National Papers take up the story - maybe a journalist looking for the story that will make their career or the Lawyer or PR firm furthering their cause by approaching them - but the Seroxat furore is born -

The resulting Panorama programs featuring the Lawyers chosen spokes person - others from their UserGroup and the class action promotes Seroxats notoriety as a rogue drug - Seroxats notoriety precedes it everywhere - More articles in papers and magazines - More clients - More publicity means patients are alerted to the problem with THE drug - they refuse to be put on it - ask to be taken off it or just stop taking it - They suffer withdrawal symptoms and ADRs - not only to THE drug - but the ones they are swapped too - but Seroxat is the problem - the media say so -

During this period - those in the medical profession who had bothered to keep abreast of drug related news - Paid attention to and not dismissed the TV programs - news reports and news paper articles had been alerted to the growing problem - others would have been made aware by their patients concerns - These medical professional - becoming alarmed at the possibility of complaints and litigation or maybe suffering a peak of conscience about not listening had started sending in Yellow Cards reporting ADRs to Seroxat - This sudden influx of ADR reports causes a distortion in records held by the MHRA and had the effect of reinforcing Seroxats reputation as the worse drug in the class -

Seizing an opportunity a politician takes up the cause --strangely enough not the MP the original UserGroup owner has mercilessly harangued and harassed-- but another who had long taken an interest in health and drug issues - An EDM about Seroxat - one of the drugs called SSRIs is asked in the house - Parliament are now aware of the problem and have to be seen to be looking into it by the public - An MHRA Expert Working Group on SSRIs is set up to look at the problem with the SSRI drugs - One of its members resigns raising questions about the efficacy of the MCA / MHRA its shortcomings and the related Pharmaceutical companies interests -

The resigned Expert Working Group member and whistle blower is threatened with litigation by the Government --not surprisingly - the government only wanted to be SEEN looking into the matter - they didn't want to actually DO anything about it-- - They depend on Pharma hand outs and investments and like to keep the industry sweet -

---

But in the wake of the stand off between the Government and whistle blower was more publicity - so they had to be seen to act which resulted in all but one of the SSRI / SNRI drugs being taken off market for under 18's and warnings added to all SSRI / SNRI packets -

This is ALL the drugs in the class - NOT just Seroxat -

Because whilst all this has been going on - against all the odds - undue pressure and underhand measures applied by those behind the sue GSK Seroxat agenda which seems dependant on keeping the "Seroxats the worst" image - there has been another group working behind the scenes - Trying to protect others by raising awareness of the other drugs in the class - trying to get the issue widened to encompass ALL the drugs - not just Seroxat - Difficult when Politicians don't want the seriousness of a situation known and litigation is being deliberately restricted to THE drug - So despite considerable efforts made by those adversely effected by other drugs in the class and very aware it is a \*drug class\* problem NOT a single drug issue - because of it's stage managed and now legendary status Seroxat is still the only drug mentioned by name with regard adverse events -

But the publicity has achieved more this time - the question of the efficacy of the MCA / MHRA and the Pharma companies involvement is still being pursued - Another EDM is placed - the government have no choice but to look further into these issues whilst still looking into the SSRI / SNRIs - The enquiry into the Pharma involvement with the MCA / MHRA resulted in a statement saying that it was cause for concern and would be reviewed at a later date - No doubt the government hope it will have dropped off the system since their timely scheduled election and now the EU referendum and G8 conference having taken centre stage -

The Expert Working Group - having had their hand forced - found the MCA / MHRA had not assessed the drugs accurately - The MHRA admitted that they had not studied "all the original data, because of the sheer volume" and the following statement " "We would be looking at years," a spokeswoman said. "It is just not feasible." " was glibly added by way of explanation or excuse ! But they had had years - in fact they had had decades and the professionals who had tried to bring their concerns to the MCA / MHRA had been doing so for over a decade too - So are the obscenely rich Pharma companies paying the MCA / MHRA to do ? or not to do ?

Rather ironically the Expert Working Group's finding singled out one drug for it's serious side effects of increased cardio toxicity problems and toxicity in over dose - These findings have been followed up by a report from NICE that this drug also carries a proven increased risk of suicidal ideation and action - The drug was taken out of the hands of the GP and can now only be prescribed by Consultant Psychiatrists - Was this "THE worst drug Seroxat" no Efexor - but it only got a cursory mention from the media - it certainly didn't steal Seroxat's thunder -

Protecting the Seroxat bad reputation hasn't stopped with this report - in fact the original UserGroup owner even cut the Efexor paragraph from the Expert Working Groups announcement letter when he posted in his board - but he'd sat back and watch many of his members swap to Efexor from the "worst" drug !

So ultimately who is responsible for the publics destroyed confidence in this drug ?

And who say's it is a "Good" drug ?

---

Any drug that increases suicidal ideations and action and aggressive tendencies -

that 8 to 12 percent of the Caucasian population cannot metabolise and will consequently cause them to suffer ADRs symptoms of varying seriousness and severity -

-- this percentage is reported to be higher in ethnic minorities groups - which probably explains the documented increase in these groups being treated as in patients in our mental health systems--

and that most - if not all - patients will suffer withdrawal problems from

can hardly be considered a "good" drug !

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/15892>

No - they don't know - They know only what Derek and Faye choose they do -

SSRI news articles etc are only posted if they are Seroxat related - well - until Derek tried Prozac - found it wasn't the easy option he's led others to believe and added it to his rant list - Articles like the Working Committee report are edited if necessary to keep the issue focused -

On the few occasions they have attended meetings --like the ones at the MHRA-- instead of posting the minutes of the meeting they write their own summaries - distorting the facts - leaving out mention of other drugs in the class and shifting the emphasis - Dismissing and upstaging the experts like Brook - Medawar - Healy - Herxheimer - just a few of those who's work and professional weight are responsible for meetings being called -

The summaries twist is that the Derek and the UserGroup are responsible for the meeting being called - the experts are there to support the UserGroup and in particular by using the word \*US\* - rather than UserGroup they are purported to be supporting Derek and Faye - who now take the focus above Seroxat - and duly take centre stage to soak up the "congratulations" - "well done's" from their captive audience - who go one stage further and call Derek - \*Sir Derek\* -

--sorry - but the word YUCK comes to mind--

But this is not the only way Derek feeds his ego - he posts copies of ALL his numerous demanding - rude - defamatory letters to others - especially to MPs - the MHRA - the EMA and papers - then sits back to await more praise for \*eloquence\* in putting his point in writing - The members of the group dutifully comply - whilst others on the same side cringe -

Because these ranting me me me diatribes do nothing but alienate both the few in places of authority that were willing to listen - to take up our cause - and the press who have been sent in his direction by the lawyers PR company -

All of whom now view ANYONE involved or from the internet boards --however composed - articulate and precise about the whole Drugs and MH issue-- in the same light - as a volatile liability - a nuisance - with scepticism - to be given a hearing but wide birth -

In turn this serves only to promote the government spokespersons and Pharma backed charity bosses into the Media when quotes - views and statements are needed - leaving others with valid points and perspectives without a public voice -

His single issue rants do immeasurable damage and serve no purpose than his own -

It's not something we have brought to his board because it would have only been another burden to those members who are vulnerable - and when we have posted - we have done so only for the purpose of passing on information about other drugs when people were looking to swap from Seroxat so they could make informed choices and about drug interacts when members have been given additional medications - as most Dr's don't seem to know or choose to ignore they exist - and we have only done this - because neither Derek or Faye bother too -

Sorry - I have nothing against Derek personally - just his methods and the way he runs the board -

Tues xx

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/15916>

I really can't answer what will happen to those people - but there are others who will help pick up the pieces - not financially - but in other ways if they can and are allowed too -

I do know Faye's still not doing well - and the really sad thing is that she could be doing so much better - she's been on the boards nearly as long as I have - but even if I offered help off board I doubt she would take it because of history and dynamics -

When I think about S.....y - it seems such a shame that so many are still in this situation - stuck or still on drugs of one sort of another - It hasn't been easy for S..... it's taken a lot to get her where she is - especially by her - and hours of one to one to rebuild the trust and her confidence damaged by the system - the drug and from being involved with the SUG boards - She's come so far - turned the corner - off the drug - still a long way to go - but what a difference from the timid little person who used to hide in the chat room with us about two years ago - hiding from one of the owners -

I must admit I haven't been on the board for a while - to many things going on here - although it has been monitored -but I'm not up to speed with those you are worried about or are in difs - How you can help is dependant on who they are - how well you know them - how receptive they would be to any advice given off list and whether they would drop you in it if you contacted them privately -

The best place for advice in addressing withdrawal symptoms is W & R - but with the loyalty issue ever present in the UK Seroxat boards it's hard to make others understand that they do not need to leave that group to benefit from what the other has to offer - I know a lot of people have been put off by the owners attitudes to us - and by fear of banning - the opinion of others on the board - but they do not have to go their under their own flag - they can always take up another - S..... didn't - she bit the bullet but has still kept up with previous contacts -

It's s tuff call - but you know Cyndi you cannot take on the worry and concern for everyone --helping others helps us - but not if it is detrimental to our health - we have to accept that there is only so much we can do - we can only help those who want help and have to learn to draw a line-- you must put yourself first here Cyndi and not

compromise your well-being - but it would be a shame if you get  
chucked out - you would miss the friends you have made - the  
companionship and it's your honesty - concern - consideration  
-compassion and care for others - delivered with a quirky sense of  
mischief and fun when needed that is one of the things that keeps  
others and the board going -

The boards are monitored by everyone - Government - Benefits - Health  
authorities - Pharma Co's - PR Companies - Lawyers Prosecution and  
Defence - that is why you cannot discuss legal issues on the open SUG  
board and another reason the archives were wiped - To many loose  
cannon comments recorded for all to see - NOT that the owners have  
enlighten the members in the pasted - It's a big problem for the  
owners and the lawyers -

If someone has benefited from taking and functions fine on the drug  
--as both owners do - well catalogued in the archives-- and only run  
into problems when they try to come off - it is easily turned to the  
Pharma Co's lawyers advantage - who can say it was perfectly  
justifiable for the Dr to administer the drug in the first place and  
that they obviously still need it - the only issue then is the matter  
of addiction - hence the faulty product law suit not a Med Neg one -

I was pondering the point of the £50 charge for medical notes to be  
looked at the other day and it occurred to me that it might be because  
of the class action classification - now under Consumer Law -  
Although legal aid has been granted there are regulations attached  
which dictate what the funding can be used for - the Lawyers have to  
work within those regulations - Under Consumer Law the cost of  
studying medical notes might not be covered - although the cost of  
studying the product would be - and I agree it's a great deal of money  
for those on benefits - a fact those earning mega bucks would rarely  
consider and most can't comprehend that an hours income to them - is a  
weeks income for others -

In this case however the question of the £50 charge seems kind of  
insignificant when asking the question of how they have quantified  
culling the client list \*without\* seeing medical notes ? Those  
suffering ADRs or in the throws of severe withdrawal would not be able  
to adequately state their case unless they were lucky enough to have  
an advocate - family member or close friend that would just step in if  
they acknowledge the problem for what it is and sort it - or if a)  
they could convince them the drug - not the illness was the problem -  
and b) the advocate was willing to take on the commitment and deal  
with it for them -

---Scary - when I think back and realize that at the point I cold  
turkey'd - I could have been hospital / institutionalised - and kept  
on the drug - I certainly wouldn't have improved - and I often wonder  
how many people might be or are in the NHS - MH or care home systems  
needlessly as a result of an undiagnosed or unacknowledged ADRs ---

By saying that I'm NOT saying that anyone on the client list does not  
deserve to be there or compensated - but - Those well enough to  
shout loudest will have been heard - the ones having considerable  
problems may have possibly been dropped from the client lists because  
they could not voice their problems adequately or deal with necessary  
paperwork and those too ill to shout at all will not have even been  
included - This will have presented a double standard that might well  
have reduced the strength and the classification of the case -  
excluded those who should have been included - and ignored those who  
had most reason and need for a claim -

All in all - a half hearted easy option that leaves the Government - Psych's - Pharma Co and Lawyer happy - A sell out - soft option settlement and the drug stays on the market - The Government have been seen to support public interest and MH patients - the Psych's keep their drugs - the Pharma Co pays out minimal compensation - replenish their reputation by acting responsibly and adding warnings to the drug PIL - which also served to curtail future litigation and the Lawyers get their fees and professional standing boosted - and can look forward to the next class action - after all they've only addressed one drug in the class so far !!

Tues xx

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/18756>

"Original Message

From: ~tuesday1st"  
To: Seroxat User Group  
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2002 3:07 PM  
Subject: Seroxat User Group Hooked - concerned!!

Derek

This is great news, but I am still very concerned that you are stirring up a hornets nest with out a contingency plan!

I don't want to upset you at this time of triumph, and I know I have already voiced my concerns about this, but I still think the way this is heading it's going to put a lot of people at risk from their Dr's ignorance and incompetence.

Making people aware of Seroxat addiction and the ongoing court case against GSK is fine - but I feel your jeopardizing peoples health by not warning them about what to do other than giving out your site address and that of your solicitors.

Not everyone who reads the news paper articles will have net access or the ability when in a drug-fug induced state of panic to rationalize about the best course of action.

Some might just stop taking it, many will go to their Dr's because of the publicity and say they are not happy with this drug - their Dr's will merely offer them an alternative, another SSRI or worse.

This fact has already been demonstrated and proven by Faye's last visit to her Dr, luckily she new enough to know the additional problems this would cause and refused to swap!

Derek fight your fight - but please look a little further than just nailing GSK - these are real and vulnerable people, just because you have the situation suss'd doesn't mean every one else has.

Tuesday "

You see - I AM on the boards to help others - but show concern for others --that is other than for Derek and HIS cause-- on the Seroxat board and your out - Seroxat is not THE problem it is a DRUG CLASS problem -

---

"Please stop the ingroup fighting there as very vulnerable people on

our group and unquestionably yours."

Over 3 years to take in what I said - shame he didn't listen and exercise some concern before he used those same very "vulnerable people" - his members by inciting them to make unwarranted attacks on us -

Neither did he have the situation suss'd - he swapped to Prozac and found out it was not the easy option - a route he has shown no remorse for sending many others down before he tried it himself -

Yet he is still on his soap box with his single issue tub thump -

"defective product" phew !!

What does that get when you raise a complaint or take litigious action?

- usually replacement goods or a refund !!

Seroxat will only be banned when ALL other SSRIs are banned - he has missed the issue and the boat -

The damage his actions and the furore of "The Seroxat Myth" has caused is immense and effects more than just his group members -

There are 7 established drugs in the class and others being tested - developed and licensed - on the same side ?

or just self interest and promotion ?

I know where I stand - not to sure about others !!!

Tue

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/18805>

He has been falsifying his membership numbers for years - every time he saw some publicity on the horizon or wanted to bolster his ego and standing to impress others about how many of "his" members he was representing he would reinstate those he had already culled -

I know not all of those have hotmail - yahoo - Gmail or ntl email addy's -

In all rational - the ONLY way he will make sure his boards is "safe and secure" from people he "know's nothing about" and were/are only a threat in his warped and overactive imagination - will be to take out ALL the members who are NOT actively posting and that he hasn't bucked out already -

That will leave on the last count ooooooh ummm !! maybe 9 or 10 members and the likes of Janice the SuperUser from the SUG Lawyer site who posts only when they need something like -

a sacrificial goat to be feed to the media !!!

Tue xx

"A tree with rotten roots will bear little blossom and deformed fruit"  
- MAB

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/18811>

Cyndi it always surprises me when you think someone has gone as low as they can and they surpass themselves -

Words like egotistical plonker come to mind - along with fudging and saving face -

They "might" have the IP no's of the members he has not culled - but I doubt it !! Even if he does - he has no way of telling if they are who they say they are or are actually using that provider - unless - they post -

He's culling in blocks - by provider - not by knowledge of being able to trace anyone - because unless someone provides their IP no or posts to the board they can't trace them at all -

Even if they go back through the archives and harvested IP no's from the posts - In the history of the board there have not been 306 individual members who have posted - EVER -

and

that is impossible because he destroyed half the archives to cover up earlier indiscretions when SV wiped her board - Members who do not post now but might have posted before the archives were destroyed will still be on the members register - they will not be traceable -

I very much doubt the 306 members left on the register "are" ALL active - legitimate members past or present - the man as usual "protesteth to much" and has cull without reason - rational or thought for those who the board was "supposed" to be set for the members -

Caught on the hop he resorts to his usual defence - pointing fingers at others --today you and Pauline - yesterday Dee-- to take the heat off himself - Spooking his "very vulnerable" members and inciting others to take his side - keep them in his control -

When this all dies down - he will resort to the usual form of posting a few heavy duty articles to show he is the leader and working for the cause - these are always filched from other boards - so not too much effort in that - but not much reaction from the members either -

Then he will have a few bad days and tell everyone - who will fawn and do the poor poor you bit - yada yada - never mind - we're all here for you - you do so much for every one -

This will be followed up with few more obnoxious and defamatory tomes to MPs the MCA etc - of course he will not just send them to the intended recipients - he will incarcerate them for posterity as pdf's in the files section - and post them on the board for the members to read - who will obligingly massage his ego with praise and adoration for his obnoxious writing and questionable grammatical skills -

he won't change - neither will the group - he won't let - he needs it to much to support his habit -

Your best not worry sweet - you have to look out for you and hubby - we can only do so much and hard as it is we have learn to draw a line - those who want help will find it - there is somewhere else :)

take care cyndi

Night night - hugs Tue xx

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/18819>

This is summery I wrote the in summer 2004 to explain to someone else about the SUGS boards - to some it may be a bit vague or over explicit in places because the person I wrote it to knew those involved -

sorry - I'm away from the puter this morning and don't have time to write properly or fill in any gaps but can later if nessecary -

"- - - I won't go into the boards history to much - but I think it is important for you to understand a little of what went on before you arrived because it affects how the boards are now - especially the UK boards and the way and why the UK members are subverted from getting help - I'm sorry if I am going over things you know or say anything that could be construed as detrimental about people you now know - it's not meant to be - - -

When Derek opened his board Paula and I were two of his first members - He used Paula's board as a poaching ground for members for his board - Sarah V --who had arrived on the ProzacAwareness board and came to Paula's-- was also poached by Derek - In her opening post on his board she said she was in the legal profession and he received her with open arms because of this fact - as his one aim and single issue rant was to sue GSK -

Hugh James --after liaising in 2001 with the American Lawyers handling the American Paxil class action-- was already actively advertising in the UK for people who were on Seroxat - If they were reporting problems HE told them what was wrong and enlisted them in the class action - Derek had already made contact with HJ and Sarah was enlisted into the litigation issues on the board - Almost from the start on Derek's board when new members joined they were given the Lawyers detail in the welcome message - before any questions were answered or advice given with regard drug problems -

Hugh James employed the PR company 'Goodrelations' to market the UK class action - discussions were held about a Web site and support board for members of the class action - Derek - Sarah and about 4 others were involved in this - There were disagreements --as far as I can ascertain-- Derek did not want to relinquish his control of his board --which I think as it was established with a good number of members the Lawyers wanted to link to their web site-- the Lawyers wanted Sarah to be involved because of her legal back ground - whether this was pre-ordained or not is unclear -

The result was Derek and Maddie leaving the committee - Derek maintaining his own board and the second SeroxatUserGroup support board being opened under Sarah Venn leadership - along with the SeroxatUserGroup web site which was meta linked directly to the Hugh James web site - It could not be seen to be too closely linked - But there is no doubt that the Lawyers set up the Seroxat Support group as a trawl for clients - we have copies of the minutes of the first SUGS group committee meeting on Hugh James headed paper -

Sarah had a lot to do with the setting up of all this and --sorry this is not an assault on her-- there is speculation as to the real nature of her involvement with the Lawyers - Which is still cause for concern - the fact that she has joined Claire's group with the statement that she is no longer associated with the Lawyers - does nothing to detract from the fact that she is still first point of

---

contact for the @mail counseling on their web site - and is this an admission that she WAS associated with them ? Or is she trying to distance herself for appearances sake and to get people on side again ? or what ? - It was a totally unnecessary statement !! - shrugs  
- nothing adds up -

The animosity between Derek and Sarah caused by the split of the committee and the forming of the second group has been on going ever since - Derek who had not liked links to other boards being posted - Possibly because he didn't want his members finding out their was help out there to assist them to get off the drugs so they were dependant on him - Possibly because he didn't want his members to know he had been banned --because of his attitude and calling the owners of the "autocrats"-- from the most prominent - revered and active SSRI boards on the net - I can't say which - but he became obsessive about keeping his board a closed board - Sarah followed suit in keeping her board closed - Keeping their members almost isolated and captive on their boards and their membership figures up in competition of each other and growing was more important than the wellbeing of their members - Autocratic oneupmanship at it's worst -

The most effective way to achieve this is to start or encourage --or at least not quell-- the spread of rumours and gossip - Letting their members think that the net is rife with viruses - that other boards are full of predators and monsters - by maligning the owners or casting aspersions about their knowledge and the advice being given and the people who promote it - It's works -

Everyone has an allegiance to the board and owner who scooped them from their drug induced isolation and gave them a safe harbour - that is human nature - But some owners --mostly those on the UK boards-- use and turn this to their advantage to keep control - When control is beginning to slip they use other methods to keep it - succour - guilt - fear of banishment - ostracizing and bullying - This is wrong and harmful - I have watched it happen - and the havoc it wreaks - and the long term effects it has on the members - Especially because of the mistrust - fear and doubts it instills - Which ultimately leaves those members affected confused - scared - unable to trust anyone or judge and believe what is sound advice -

This in turn can have a profound effect on their emotional wellbeing and a detrimental effect on their long term prospects of recovery -"

Obviously this board is still running - although he still uses Jeremy / Morse as a control tactic by name - he no longer names me because I took action through the Yahoo and his IP server's abuse complaints procedure - he was warned and forced to remove a defamatory post from the board -

When --as he does on the board home page-- he talks about "abusive posts" he is referring to any

post that contains contact details for other groups -

opinions that don't match his -

posts containing information about and the risks factors of other drugs - "Seroxat is THE worst drug" cant have that brought into doubt

-

help and proven advice appertaining to withdrawal - healing and what helps original - drug induced symptoms and withdrawal symptoms by way of lifestyle changes and supplements etc -

---

in fact anything that detracts from him - and might afford someone other than him gratitude ---for helping--- or popularity ---being asked questions--- that he feels is rightfully his -

hope this explains a little

Tue

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/18844>

Hi WN - I think the aim is preservation - ours and the members - The only time we speak out against him is when --to keep-- control he provokes a spooking campaign on his members using others - not on the board or able to defend themselves there - as ammunition - Giving scant regard to the adverse effects or well-being of the "very vulnerable" members when he does this -

We work with people on the net - one to one in person and on the phone to help with drug related problems - We also campaign and correspond with organisations - the media - Department of Health and politicians on issues about MH - suicide and psych drugs - Derek has alienated many people in authority who could have --if approached with candour and a responsible attitude-- been supportive and beneficial to what we are trying to achieve -

This has wasted a great deal of our limited resources over the past 3+ years as we have had to undo this damage before being accepted and acknowledged as ourselves and not associated with either him - his views or his invented - warped opinion of us - All the boards are monitored by interested parties and the defamatory actions of the individual rebounds and reflects on all who are working outside the system to improve the system and to gain account for the actions of the health authorities - professionals and pharmaceutical companies -

He hasn't lost IT per se ! The only thing he has lost is his rational - dignity - self control and therefore credibility and face with his members - In the big scheme of things he may be small fry but is just as bad as the paid professionals - he is offering a service - it may be only a support group - but he is using his members to support his goal and giving nothing back -

When people ask questions on the board he either ignores them or occasionally will post a copy pasted article about the problem - Most articles are so long and heavy duty that those with drug fug or in the throws of withdrawal and early recovery would be unable to read or understand them - and the articles are usually only about the facts of the condition and symptom - not how to understand it - advice on helping to ease or resolve it -

He has sat back and watch his members swap to other drugs in the class with the same problems - additional cessation and start up symptoms and take additional drugs with contraindications without concern - warning or comment - Those who have dared try and supply information or warnings - so the member can make an informed decision about the best course of action are banned and booted - the information is dissed -

People who get informed help cope better with the symptoms and can even get better - they are no longer dependant - He doesn't want people helped because he needs them to fight his cause - he seems to think the worse their symptoms and the longer they last improves his only argument that Seroxat is the worse and only faulty drug -

Anyone capable of acting like this is not a person I would easily compare to a lame - cornered and trapped animal - Perhaps - more like a fox in a hen house - who's aim is to outwit the ones who care and nurture - and having gained control with fear watches with a sly self congratulatory smile as the cornered prey suffer - and then destroys without need - for the buzz - the sheer exhilaration the ultimate control he experiences - A fox in a hen house is no easy victim - more a cunning and opportunistic predator -

As for the falling numbers ? in comparison to uksurvivors - uk's has 450 registered members - Seroxat had over a 1000 now 306 - Yet the number of active posting members taking into account 4/3 moderators on Seroxat is probably about the same - there is little difference between them in that respect - apart from the fact that Seroxat's membership figures have in the past been tweaked for effect and use by the owner for his own ends -

Tue

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/18882>

as you will have noticed this board is different from the one you have been posting on - it gets a little heavy at times discussion wise - is not all drug related - and can be daunting to those not use to it -

This board is an open and free board - there are no rules or regulations - Discriminate - if the heavy discussion posts are unsettling - don't read them - You can choose to join in - or just chat about anything you want to - even if you want to just chat amongst yourselves - don't be afraid to jump in - claim a slot and used the board -

If you want to address a post to someone specific just add their name to the subject line -

The experience and knowledge of those on the board with health - welfare and drug related issues is immense and you might find an answer or solution that has yet eluded you - so please don't be afraid to ask questions -

There are other boards that we can recommend for those with ongoing drug related problems or are interested in drug specific campaigning - but these will not be Seroxat oriented - Derek's board is the only one vehemently stuck to the notion that it is just a one drug problem - but Seroxat and GSK will be on the agenda -

Take care

Tue

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/19177>

Cyndi - J's away from putter this afternoon - I don't know how this was started --have a pretty good idea where and why tho'-- but once it is - it is self perpetuating -

As J said this has always been a problems with both UK SUG / Seroxot boards - any conflict and the spam starts - I don't think this is any different -

Since being on the boards we've been targeted and relentlessly spammed on occasions - The cycle has to be broken otherwise it will just keep

---

coming - Clearing your computer of all traces that are already there is a good place to start - it won't stop you getting spam - but it should definitely help -

- Sorry if you already know this info -

Every time you open a page or email a temp file is created and/or a cookie is implanted on your puter - these are not needed - they slow your puter down and can host virus and spam addy's that get passed on by attaching themselves to emails - files - emoticons etc. that you then send out -

Also if you have a page that keeps appearing - it's not coming in - it's actually lodged a cookie on your puter and generates itself to appear - Deleting the cookie and temp files will usually stop it - We do this on a daily basis and clear all cookies and temporary files every time we close our browser / internet connection - before shutting our puter's down for the night or at least once a day if you keep it on 24/7 -

You can do this by going to

'Internet Options' -- 'General' -- 'Temporary Files' -- click on

'Delete Cookies' - --this will bring up a secondary box - click to confirm delete - then

'Delete Files' --this will also bring up a secondary box - click to confirm

'Delete all off line content' --- and then

`Clear History' -- and set 'Clear History' to clear every day or so -

Setting a link on your desk top to 'Internet Options' is easy and then like accessing your browser it's just a case of click and go - only take a couple of mo's when done regularly -

Doing this before you run virus scan program or defrag --sorry bit late for this info-- will cut down on the length of time these jobs take to run because there are less files to go through -

There are a lot of free download spyware programs - Spysweeper and Spybot-S&D are pretty good - they will isolate - quarantine and destroy most spyware that has already been planted in your puter - If you already have this type of programs - use it on a daily basis until the spam in being controlled or stops - then weekly -

One way to protect yourself is to stop reading and responding to the boards by email - you are making yourselves and others vulnerable - although this spate of spam was probably generated from a known source -- Every time you post to a board by email your full email address is shown - you also carry forward other peoples unedited email addresses - these can be harvested by anyone and used -

We never read the boards by email - this cuts out direct access to your mail box - and although the board might still receive spam it's not going to infect your puter and it doesn't get passed on - Your main puter security firewalls etc. will not stop spam if your leaving a door open -

Again it's easy to bookmark the board onto your browser hot list - then it's just a case of clicking on a link and signing in - no

---

different than accessing your mail box and a lot safer - Keep your mail box for personal emails only - it's then easier to distinguish what is spam and what isn't - The spam can then be marked and dealt with without opening it -

Register safe personal email addy's --people you want to receive mail from-- send all other mail to junk folder - if you check this -- without opening any emails -- for addy's - known new contacts or people you recognise but have inadvertently missed off your safe list - you can add them to it - and the post and all future mail from that addy / person will go direct to your then safe inbox -

As I said - if you already know all this I`m sorry to have butted in and gone over it again - if not give it a try hopefully it might help resolve at least part of the problem -

Let me know how you get on - if it helps and what residual problems you have -

DON'T let it get to you Cyndi - thats what they want -

((Hugs)) Tue xxxx

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/19772>

Hi Lynn - rofl - cheeky bu\*\*er!! lol

Tana it's nice here from you again - you don't have to be so formal :) and no it's not a problem -

I was NOT at the meeting - had I been I would have made myself known to you -

This is another figment of his warped imagination - another lie about me - the last in a very long list fabricated and broadcast by Derek - Although what exactly he has / is hoping to achieve by continuing to use my name in this way is beyond rational - along with his continual inference that I am in league with others like Morse and now Cyndi ???

LOL - is that supposed to be an insult or a compliment ?? I'm not sure if my being one of "Cyndi's croanies" is very accurate either - I was banned from Derek's board before she became a member - so surely as I am the longer standing involved member she would be one of my croanies -

Either way - reflecting on the rather tempestuous posting relationship Cyndi and I shared in the past --- needless to say Derek caused the tempestuous bit --- I consider it without question a privilege to both to know Cyndi and be associated with her - even in the questionable context and spin Derek has seen fit to imply here :)

The lengths he has gone to - to try and discredit my name never ceases to amaze me - I was posting with him on other forums BEFORE he set up his own board and long before Morse / Jeremy was even aware there was a problem with the drugs - Derek actually invited me to become one of his first members - which I did -

He also knows that I have not changed my stance one iota since that time - Derek's irrational opinion / fear of me seems to stem from my first voicing my concerns in 2002 about his and his groups media activities in relation to the health and safety of others - at which point I was first banned from his group for placing the following post -

" From: ~tuesday1st"  
To: Seroxat User Group  
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2002 3:07 PM  
Subject: Seroxat User Group Hooked - concerned!!

Derek

This is great news, but I am still very concerned that you are stirring up a hornets nest with out a contingency plan!

I don't want to upset you at this time of triumph, and I know I have already voiced my concerns about this, but I still think the way this is heading it's going to put a lot of people at risk from their Dr's ignorance and incompetence.

Making people aware of Seroxat addiction and the ongoing court case against GSK is fine - but I feel your jeopardizing peoples health by not warning them about what to do other than giving out your site address and that of your solicitors.

Not everyone who reads the news paper articles will have net access or the ability when in a drug-fug induced state of panic to rationalize about the best course of action.

Some might just stop taking it, many will go to their Dr's because of the publicity and say they are not happy with this drug - their Dr's will merely offer them an alternative, another SSRI or worse.

This fact has already been demonstrated and proved by Faye's last visit to her Dr luckily she new enough to know the additional problems this would cause and refused!

Derek fight your fight - but please look a little further than just nailing GSK - these are real and vulnerable people, just because you have the situation suss'd doesn't mean every one else has.

Tuesday "

I have nothing against the GSK / Seroxat litigation pre se --it has it's place-- but it has not been a priority in my books - and certainly has no place involved with support boards - Where support - advice and assistance should take the precedent - a fact that seems to have escaped Derek and Faye -

I find the whole situation really sad - the damage they have caused by refusing their members access to readily available knowledge - help and advice in dealing with drug caused problems has compromised their health - well being and hindered their recovery - and in addition most probably caused more irreversible damage -

They are selfish and even rather sad individuals - who have used others to feed their own ego's and have subjected some of the most vulnerable people to --in some cases-- years of unnecessary further suffering - That is the one thing that I will never be able to reconcile against their fight for a fast buck -

Also I would most certainly not have put myself out to attend or support a meeting that's agenda was a single issue tub thump and SUG / Derek invoked rant appertaining to one drug - when it's a `drug class' problem -

Again something that Derek and Faye have kept hidden from members -  
As they have deliberately sat back and watched many others swap to  
other and worst drugs in the class to keep their false and erroneous  
"Seroxats the Worst" drug phenomenon alive -

I have written a potted history of the UK Seroxat boards - if you  
would like to read it - it's on this board post number 15740 - you can  
access it directly from post no search at the top of the page - it's  
not on Scotts board anymore as he deleted the archives when Sarah  
wiped out her group -

I guess maybe Derek sees me as a threat because I know the truth - and  
have a clear drug free recollection of events that he cannot refute or  
defend - honesty and truth will out eventually -

And he is deluded if he thinks his board is clear of what he would  
call in his paranoid way 'spy's and infiltrators' - lol - He will not  
be certain of that until ALL non participating members have been taken  
off the membership list -

Take care - Tues xx

\*\*\*SLSBAWTMNF\*\*\*

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/19806>

Hi Lynn - Your welcome - I don't understand it either - but I  
suppose sometimes when someone gets fixated on their goal they loose  
sight of the whole picture - of what is important - Derek could be  
nice - but his only agenda --even before he opened his board-- was  
nailing GSK for compensation - So how much of the nice was genuine  
and how much was keeping people on side - keeping Seroxats embellished  
notoriety - keeping control and his credibility - which he has eroded  
and destroyed himself -

I was brought up by a controlling bully - my second long term partner  
turned out to be one and my husband was a womaniser - They could all  
be charm personified when things were going their way - but the `nice'  
never lasted when it wasn't - if you questioned their authority or  
they were put on the spot - I stood up to the first from the age of 4  
and paid the costs - the second ended up putting me in hospital and  
my husband would erupt and throw hissy fits when caught out - Derek's  
threats - and that is where they stem from - even if Faye is handing  
some out under a false sense of loyalty --- after all he gave her  
status - her moment in the press - her power --- are the actions of a  
controlling bully -

My only real misdemeanour was wanting to inform people that it was/is  
not a Seroxat problem but a `drug class' problem and that there was/is  
a wealth of proven advice available that would help the symptoms they  
were suffering - I think that was one of the reasons - he didn't want  
people to know - because it would prove that people could get off the  
drug - improve and even get better - it would undermine his control  
and take away their need for him - His expression about me being a  
'red flag' epitomises the way he views me and anyone who questions  
his word and he has used me since the first time I spoke out to keep  
that control -

Their treats to `tell tails' to what he perceives as a higher  
authority ---something he is aware most people are fearful and wary  
of--- are a last resort to try and get his own way - But he has  
already subjected those very same people in higher authority to his

tactics - they are wise to his ways and very aware of the harm he has done - As are others who he has had dealing with on the board and the board abuse authorities - Since I was successful in lodging an abuse complaint against him and he was forced to remove a detrimental post from his board - he has not used my name openly --- resorting to Jeremy / Morse and infiltrators --- -

But I'm obviously still the main contender for his animosity behind the boards - perhaps I'm such a 'red flag' because I'm the only one who has successfully challenged him - In good company or not I'm just really sad that other people have been caught up in his power play - People who we couldn't reach - who could have been well on their way to recovery health wise with the right help and advice - Even Derek - Faye and Bob themselves could have benefited - without compromising their right for compensation - It's surprising when you see the way those who have broken away from the oppression of the SUGS boards have blossomed -

It's also a shame that the last four years of UK action against the pharmaceutical companies have been compromised and impeded by the actions a few - The opportunities missed by the SUGS groups and legal boffins 'single drug' issue Seroxat ranting is quite profound - The class action against GSK would have been an appropriate backdrop for a 'Drug Class' movement - and how much more weight would the 'class action' of carried with the backing of all those who have suffered as a result of being prescribed the other SSRIs / SNRIs - The number of people who have passed through Hugh James and SUGS Seroxat client logs is reputed to have been over 10,000 - there are 7 SSRIs - 6 SNRIs = 13 - this figure increases by 2 more when you count those drugs re-licence for different non MH indication - multiply the figures and it puts a true value on how big a problem this really is !

And how big an opportunity has been missed by those with their own agenda -

Tues xx

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/19853>

Cyndi - You're a really caring person - who always responds with compassion and gives supports to everyone - regardless of how your feeling or how tuff things are for you at the time - I'm sure 'that' is what everyone here on UKs will be seeing -

Not what you have written about before or bantered about with people who you put your trust in and thought were your friends - 'Friends' are people you can - and do talk about anything with - and you did and this is pretty despicable behaviour for so called friends -

Betraying trust - threatening to expose what you have idly chatted about to get through the rough times and take away fears - in what you thought was confidence - It doesn't reflect badly on you Cyndi - it reflects badly on them - a couple of the Autocrats flunkies posting out of spite -

Their cowards - They don't want people to know what they're doing - that's why they're doing this by private email - true abusers and bullies never work in public -

As far the other comments etc go -

The board -

This board is open to be read by anyone - If you look at the screen dump Jo has taken - she is signed in but not a member - So the fictitious 'member' Faye intimates is `spying' on us lol you know the one that has supposedly `infiltrated' the board -

----tut tut -- same old tactics used to spook the Seroxat board members for years - they don't work so well on an open board where you can't whip up mass hysteria do they !!!----

could be anyone of them - But it's probably just Jo - on recognisance duty and reporting back -

what to Expose -

He wasn't so discreet with his round-robin email's or on board comments which there are screen dumps of - We know the abusive letters to MPs raised a few eyebrows in the house - somewhat naïve to not think they would not have been passed around or internal memos sent - Their not in isolation - their in parliament and the old boy network never rests -

Who's watching

With reference M Harvey - the boards are monitored not only by the their own lawyers - the legal services commission - government departments - benefit agencies - medical establishments - insurance agencies medical and personal - the pharmaceutical companies and their lawyers - they ALL have a vested interest in the outcome of the Class Action and who's involved -

Don't let them get to you C they're really not worth it -

Love and hugs Tues xxxx

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/19945>

he's probably getting muddled up with ClareDocs board - the one that was started when Sarah wiped out her second board -

I do wish people with 'issues' should make sure they know the facts before throwing accusations -

and didn't she accuse me of "repeating the same old "Blurb" " a few post down board - surely she should know the them by know !!!

Checking archive will be difficult - Sarah's and ClareDocs board's don't exist anymore - Derek has wiped his earlier archives - to many indiscretions on there -

Cyndi - you don't have to justify yourself to us or Jo - What she is doing and sending reflects badly on her not you -

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/19947>

I'll call quits if you stop slagging off our group,call us what you like privately.

No one on our group has mentioned either you or UKS so why the need to slag us off? You stick to supporting your friends and I'll stick to mine. I can't be fairer than that Cyndi.

\*\*\*\*\* Thanks Jo - so you admit this has been a deliberate stalking exercise on your part -

If I were you I would quit now while you're ahead -

However - it might just be too late - I'm sure Derek will be pleased to have someone to blame if his group is closed down -

T

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/34866>

I understand you are lined up to take your 'Single Issue Seroxat Tub Thump' to the MHRA - have Mark Harvey of Hugh James Solicitors or Goodrelations -- their PR company-- engineered this meeting?

Tues

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/34868>

Are you keeping to the 'Single Issue Seroxat Tub Thump' or are you going to do the decent thing and widen the issue to encompass ALL drugs in the class ?

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/34869>

Question too difficult for you Bob ?

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/34907>

Perhaps you overlooked this article .....

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/theobserver/2002/apr/28/features.magazine37>

Simon Garfield  
The Observer,  
Sunday April 28 2002  
Article history

"Mark Harvey says he is still 'shaking the tree' to see how many people are suffering from the sort of severe withdrawal symptoms afflicting Jenny Stanaway. People are learning of his interest at the rate of about two a week."

September 2002 Hugh James set up  
<http://www.seroxatusergroup.org.uk/Documents/newsletterissue1.pdf>

along with a second SeroxatUserGroup (SUG) on network 54 - which Sarah Venn headed because Derek was too self obsessed to let his group be used and shared with other committee members - he wouldn't relinquish total control!

Supposedly a 'support' group but to gain access to it you were

directed through the Hugh James Web site and had to fill out a questionnaire about your drug experiences before being allowed to join.

Derek on his Yahoo SeroatUserGroup did his bit to ALL new members were directed to Hugh James web site instead of being given answers to questions and advice.

Mark Harvey's "Shaking the tree" with the help of Goodrelations – the additional publicity of the Panorama programs worked really well -- 2 years after the Observer article when he stated "People are learning of his interest at the rate of about two a week finding 2 people a fortnight" - it was reported by the SeroxatUserGroup and Dereks group renamed the OnlineSeroxatSupportGroup (OSSG) (with early archives removed to get rid of indiscreet posts) at a meeting with the EMEA, that they were representing 10,000 people.

<http://www.seroxatusergroup.org.uk/EMEA%20minutes%20April%202004.pdf>

Meeting with EMEA on Monday 19th April 2004

"Janice Simmons then introduced everyone present from the. SUG/OSSG and their guests. ... Janice said the group represents over 10000 people all of ..."

Bob which ever way you look at this it's definitely trawling for clients – that makes them ambulance chasers!

So as you're still out there with the 'Single Issue Seroxat Tub Thump' that was instigated in the UK by Mark Harvey after he liaised with Baum/Hedlund in the USA – I guess Hugh James are still pulling your strings!! By keep highlighting the Seroxat furore and litigation your working for them paid or not ..... and if that is true ..... ?

But don't feel too bad about being hoodwinked by them tho' -- the BBC, Paul Flynn, journalist and several other notable people have been succoured to ... although they probably just saw a quick and easy way to get some publicity whilst seeming to support those who have been affected!!

As Cyndi says the people behind this ALL have their own agendas - even those who set up 'supposed' support groups ..... and it's not helping the worthy litigants!!

Now you can answer my question.

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/34913>

That's fine Chris – the post was for Bob any way – but aren't you a tad inquisitive as to why he won't answer my questions?

To explain the "beef" would take another long post – in short the SUGS groups and the Seroxat GSK litigation has caused a great deal of emotional, physical, financial damage to very vulnerable people - over and above damage already caused by the drug itself --- just one of a class of 9+ drugs - all with the similar and worse problems. Bob has been and is still instrumental in this.

The lawyer involved with the Seroxat litigation has been involved with other class actions which have either folded after he has fleeced the LSC of considerable funds or have resulted in poultry payments to the litigants from trusts that he probably gets paid very

well to oversee.

This class action is being brought on the basis that it is a faulty product – what is that going to net the litigants - replacement goods or a refund ?!?!

If you would like a bit of back ground on the groups history here's the link to a post I wrote to explain the it to some of the members of Scotts SUG group who contacted us when he decided to ban most of them – some had arrived here shocked and asking questions.

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/18819>

"18819 Re: the seroxat support group - A potted history - This is summery I wrote the in summer 2004 to explain to someone else about the SUGS boards - to some it may be a bit vague or over exsplicit in places because..."

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/18790>

"18790 how curious 442 members wiped out over night (was 1094 now 652)  
"Group Information Members: 652 Category: Drugs Founded: May 3, 2002 Language: English " Yahoo! My Yahoo! Mail Make Yahoo! your home page Welcome, [Sign Out,..."

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/18804>

"18804 Re: was 1094 then 652 now 347 .....as bogus seroxat group posts me  
Well ,I'm fucking angry !!!!(sorry Dixie) They're still chucking people off.Pauline got the boot this evening ,she's done nothing wrong ,but on message..."

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/34921>

Hi Cyndi :) really nice to see you - I didn't realize how much I missed you all and posting on the boards until I posted last night. Well I knew I missed you's people and have looked in on occasions ..... and have been kept up to speed on how you all are and what's been going on - even whilst I've been tied up doing other stuff :)

See some things haven't changed tho' LOL – wondering if Bob won't answer my questions because he can't get me banned from here!! The only way they ever had any success in a discussion with me ;)

See he hasn't changed much – insinuations implying that the person photographing the children on J's house boat was J and no doubt that there was another unsavory reason for the person taking the pictures!

Sadly he only ever converses / takes on people who he knows he can hurt in some way with his snide comments or by changing the subject - like when you and J were posting articles about the scientologists being linked to the Seroxat litigation - he was ranting on his blog about Seroxat etc etc – then he went completely off topic and started posting about child abuse – not a subject he would usually comment on so obviously posted because he knew it would get at you ..... Some people are just plain nasty!

Anyways enough about him – will send you an email off list tomorrow to let you know what's been going on with me and we can catch up as

I'm going online for a while now.

Hugs speaks soon Tues xxx

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/34922>

Re: South Yardley Tatooned Parrots Fiddeman Scam Exposed ,,

--- In [uksurvivors@yahoo.com](mailto:uksurvivors@yahoo.com), "silvisrivers" <silvisrivers@...> wrote:

>  
>  
> [Bobs Tatooned Parrots]  
>  
> <<http://www.flickr.com/photos/purplewarbuntoonwarriorthe3rd/2791730287/>>  
>  
> Complaints are coming in that drugged parrots in South Yardley have  
> against their will been tattooed by a bandana-ed man known as Pirate  
Bob

LOL Silvis THAT is Brilliant :) it's brightened up a pretty dismal day here :) thank you so much

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/34925>

Re: Hi everyone - Bob - I have a question for you .....

Sorry guys but I need to ask this again -- Question still to hard for you Bob?

--- In [uksurvivors@yahoo.com](mailto:uksurvivors@yahoo.com), "tuesday1st" <tuesday1st@...> wrote:

>  
>  
> > No. I engineered it myself.  
> >  
> > Bob  
> >  
>  
> Are you keeping to the 'Single Issue Seroxat Tub Thump' or are you  
> going to do the decent thing and widen the issue to encompass ALL  
drugs  
> in the class ?

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35021>

Re: Hi everyone - Bob - I have a question for you .....

**And I'll apologise to those members who are probably not interested in this topic and for the long post :)**

**I know Bob has `supposedly' delisted himself - but this is an open board and can be read even by non-members - and he'll still be hanging around ... reading ... and any further comments made here will be misrepresented and lambasted on his blog.**

I am replying to his last post to me here - because if I comment on his blog he will probably just delete or edit it.

This way he can either come back like a decent person and reply or not - I won't hold my breath though

> --- In ukurvivors@yahoogroups.com, "tuesday1st" <tuesday1st@> wrote

>

> > > Are you keeping to the 'Single Issue Seroxat Tub Thump' or are you

> > > going to do the decent thing and widen the issue to encompass ALL

> > drugs in the class ?

>

> --- In ukurvivors@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <bob\_fid2000@...> wrote

>

> I already answered this.

>

> It's funny how you avoid my questions.

>

> Now, for the record... and for the benefit of the readers in here. Do

> YOU condone the actions of Jeremy Bryve regarding him contacting

> government officials claiming I am being paid by solicitors?

No you didn't answer my question actually - you employed your usual diversionary tactic of asking me a question instead - and then in true bully boy fashion demanding a response on your terms before answering mine. But despite that - I definitely answered yours - you just chose to ignore my reply.

So here it is - I've brought up the relevant bit about ambulance chasers and working for the lawyers

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ukurvivors/message/34907><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ukurvivors/message/34907>

34907"Re: Hi everyone - Bob - I have a question for you .....

... my ... benefit ... Perhaps you overlooked this article .....

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/theobserver/2002/apr/28/features.magazine37> Simon Garfield  
The....."

"....."

Bob which ever way you look at this it's definitely trawling for clients – that makes them ambulance chasers!

So as you're still out there with the 'Single Issue Seroxat Tub Thump' that was instigated in the UK by Mark Harvey after he liaised with Baum/Hedlund in the USA – I guess Hugh James are still pulling your strings!! By keep highlighting the Seroxat furore and litigation your working for them paid or not ..... and if that is true ..... ?

....."

Or is that too difficult to understand too? Like so many other things involved with this issue!!

Having watched the SUGS / OSSG GSK Litigation debacle since it's conception - everyone involved has dismissed the opportunity to actually achieve anything.

The key player's - those well enough to stand there and bang on very loudly about the issues - you included - have all been so locked into the possibility of financial gain - that you have ignored the big picture and what is actually happening with the drug class.

ALL that has been achieved so far is that total prescriptions numbers in England for Seroxat have dropped from 3.7 million in 2001 to 1.7 million in 2007 - go on say it Bob -- `That's a great achievement!'

Is it tho'!!

Because in many people's eyes -- including GSKs and their lawyers -- that will just prove that it IS possible to get off the drug!!

Yes - we all know it's difficult - but that spans the drug class - it's not just a Seroxat problem - and some are much harder come off than Seroxat.

This fact is supported by the UK Gov Statistics for England - total prescriptions for the other drugs in the class have increased from 10.2 million in 2001 to 20.6 million in 2007.

Include the newest drug Duloxetine (the drug that caused Traci Johnson's death in the healthy volunteer trials at Lily Labs) and that figure rises to over 21 million for 2007

2007 saw the largest increase in SSRI / SNRI prescriptions since the drug class was first licensed. In that one year they increase by well over 2.3 million

These continual increases show three things -

- 1 The drugs don't work
- 2 People can't get off them
- 3 The Seroxat Action Groups have achieved nothing

Your meeting with the MHRA will be the same as all the others and Janice's meeting with the Prime minister - they're playing with you - paying you lip service.

By seeing you - THEY are being seen to listen and do something on the SSRI / SNRI issue and can ignore what is really happening!!

You on the other hand - by keep banging the 'Single Issue Seroxat Tub Thump' are giving them licence to do so - handing them an undemanding, evasive option on a plate.

How much more could you have achieved if you had carried on with the GSK litigation AND encompassed the credence and power of ALL the people having problems with the other 8 drugs investigated by the CSM Expert Working Group on the Safety of SSRIs in 2003.

The resultant damning report the government merely used against the pharmaceutical industry to drive down the cost of the class drugs - far below that expected by license end generics becoming available.

In 2007 they paid less for 12.8 million more SSRI / SNRI prescriptions than in 1999!

In 2007 - 22.7 million SSRI / SNRI prescriptions cost £213 million - in 1999 - 9.9 million prescriptions cost £264.4 million .....

the government must be quite smug at the saving they made from that report - in 2004 18.4 million SSRI / SNRI prescriptions cost £355.4 million .....

and these are just the England Statistics - the same will apply with both prescriptions and costs to Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland.

And this is without the human aspect - how many more people will be affected because the GSK Seroxat litigant activists are interested only in one thing.

So Bob - I'll ask my question again

Are you keeping to the 'Single Issue Seroxat Tub Thump' or are you going to do the decent thing and widen the issue to encompass ALL drugs in the class ?

With regard to the rest of your question -

As I said - I watched the SUGS / OSSG debacle since it's conception I have - witnessed first hand your indiscreet, careless and bragging posting.

In response I was the first person to warn members including yourself that the boards were monitored not only by the moderators, but also by government and benefit officials, medical establishments, the pharmaceutical industry AND the lawyers from BOTH sides of the GSK litigation.

I seem to remember being banned for that!!!

I have also been subjected to your vitriolic unwarranted attacks and your demands that I be banned -

for what??

Showing concern for others - feeding information about drugs people were going to swap to, so they could make informed choices - advising on proven strategies and supplements that ease and in some cases eradicate withdrawal symptoms and help with health issues that necessitate treatment!!!

And why ??

Because the UK Seroxat Support Groups involved with the GSK litigation had one ethos - keep members as ill as possible for as long as possible - to prove 'Seroxat's the Worst Drug!' mantra!!!

Bob - at present your allegations regarding actions taken by Jeremy are no more than hearsay - unless you can provide irrefutable proof?

Until then - I'll answer for and about my own actions - but not anyone else's!!

As I said - I am here to ask my own questions about your actions - I asked a question that concerned me and many others who have been affected by the actions of yourself and those involved with the Seroxat litigation.

But obviously here as elsewhere your words speak volumes - your actions don't speak the same language!!

Tues

Ref:

<http://www.ic.nhs.uk/statistics-and-data-collections/primary-care/prescriptions/prescription-cost-analysis-2007>

<http://www.ic.nhs.uk/statistics-and-data-collections/primary-care/prescribing/prescription-cost-analysis:-england-2004>

[Link to Prescription Cost Analysis 1999 pdf](#) - seems to be missing.

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35108>

Oh dear - Bob b-logged off again - before I've had a chance to speak with him :(

But as I said before - he'll still be reading - so I will reply to his posts - and apologise to everyone else again before I do.

\*\*\*\*\*

--- In uksurvivors@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <bob\_fid2000@...> wrote:

.....

>

> I see you are up to your old tricks on Network 54

Bob - I'm not sure what you're talking about here - but if you're referring the post  
'Response+received' added after yours - it's not Jeremy's - it's mine

<http://www.network54.com/Forum/281849/message/1219935549/Response+received>

which I sent direct to the owner - who has put it up under an appropriate subject line which makes it clear it is a response to your post. If you want to comment on it - please do so to me.

And perhaps you can explain exactly what 'old tricks' have been employed at network54?

> For the record. I cannot respond, my username and password  
> mysteriously don't work.

For the record, the owner of the board has locked it down and made it 'Read Only' because they don't want a bun fight on there - it does state quite clearly on the board - if you actually ever bother to read anything!!!

> Hmmmm?  
>  
> Not to worry

Bob - I would really worry if I were you - especially as you still seem unable to shake of the irrational delusion that I am Jeremy - or that Jeremy is me -

<http://fiddaman.blogspot.com/>

## **SEROXAT SUFFERERS - STAND UP AND BE COUNTED**

**Justice for Seroxat/Paxil/Paroxetine users. "For being a one-man wrecking crew, Fiddy gets my first Bruce Lee Award" - Phil Dawdy, [www.furiousseasons.com/](http://www.furiousseasons.com/)**

## **Thursday, August 28, 2008**

[The Video GSK Wanted Banned](#)

On youtube [again]

This one goes out to UK Survivors... Particularly Jeremy and 'Tuesday' - His alter ego

sorry - but perhaps you are seriously mentally ill.

If the issues weren't so serious - it would be laughable - but it's not.

If you can't get a grip on reality - can you in reality give credence to the those issues?

I can't help wondering if the Seroxat litigants and lawyers can afford or will really want you representing them in discussions with the MHRA?

I don't think I would!

Tues

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35109>

Hi Bob – sorry I didn't know you'd sneaked back in again - so now I can write to you directly –

Firstly Bob ... sorry but using your children ... is one the most appalling actions I've seen taken by anyone on the forums ...

I don't know what you were trying to achieve by doing it – but it does give the impression of someone who will stoop to any depths to achieve their own objectives ....

but –

as you have brought them into this – and as they are actually more adult than child –

Perhaps you should show them some respect, integrity and discernment – and show them all the posts involved – not just the ones you've chosen to show them.

They'll look anyway – now they know where too!!!

In your `son's' letter – he say's you don't use a wheel chair .....

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35076>

".....

You claimed my dad had no wheelchair in Bangkok with the photo you have of him. Why would he need a wheelchair? Why have you got a photo of him? Having a disability does not mean you need a wheelchair.

....."

in fact he actually asks – why would you need a wheelchair !?!

Sadly - what your son seems totally oblivious to - is that the picture and comment about younot having a wheelchair - appeared on the Bobfiddaman blogspot - after you posted the following

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/34873>

"I suppose people in wheelchairs are not allowed to travel now Jeremy?  
The logic of your argument is paper thin."

implying - you do need to use a wheelchair!

Which is obviously where the poster got the information from .....

and no doubt this was also written and posted to succour support from people here by making yourself appear more of a disabled victim than you are!

Your logic and arguments appear to be transparent ... all smoke and mirrors!

I'll let the lurkers and posters on here make up their own minds.

Tues

BTW - I'm going off line now and will be away until tomorrow evening - when I will reply to your other posts and any responses to the two I have just posted.

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35277>

Bob

Thank you for replying to my second question with frank and honest answers.

Although - I am wondering why you don't/won't actually respond directly to me?

Is it because -

1 If you do - you're acknowledging that `Tuesday' does exist - and that would void your insinuations that I'm Jeremy's "alter ego" -

or

2 That you really do believe that I am a figment of his imagination?

Or perhaps there's another - more significant reason to avoid/delay answering my questions and for choosing not to disseminate my posts across the internet?

Because the complexities of the "`issue' with this" does not lend itself to short sentences answers without explanation - to necessitate clear understanding and for the benefit of those who don't know the history - I will reply in several posts.

I also feel another extremely long post about Seroxat/you on the internet wouldn't be fair on the lurkers and members here on ukurvivors - to whom I again apologise for continuing this thread.

Also before I continue I would like say that the following posts are not intended as an attack on you Bob or other `fellow campaigners' or the litigation and those involved.

It's merely observations made from the periphery as the campaign started, has unfolded and progressed - and questions that have arisen from those observations.

Some, possibly won't be comfortable reading for those involved - but hopefully you will take it as constructive insofar as the Seroxat campaign seems to be grinding to a halt - the 'Aims of the Group' - 6 years down the line no nearer fruition than when written.

Apart from the Seroxat Blogs, and the lawyer or *SUG* website using the litigants own stories, leaking them out about one a month to keep coverage - there is no media interest. Other than possibly making it to the court steps - the campaign seems to have nowhere to go - unless you/they change tack and widen the issue.

Tues

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ukurvivors/message/35278>

Bob

The issue with the meeting is that you have the opportunity to actually achieve a great deal - IF - you don't do what they are expecting you to do - which is bang on about Seroxat.

If preparing for your meeting with the MHRA is trawling through archives - like the lists of Seroxat/Paxil articles and statement you copied from PaxilProgress onto your Blog - without giving the author/owner open credit - is anything to go by.

It would appear you have nothing new to present to the MHRA!

The lists lead article declaring "Antidepressant Seroxat tops table of drug withdrawal symptoms" - is impressive - unless you know it was written by Sarah Boseley, health editor of The Guardian in July 2002 -

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/news/2002/jul/27/uknews>

at the height of Mark Harvey and GoodRelations "shaking the tree" - publicising the problems with Seroxat to those taking it and shocking GP's (always litigation wary) into actually reporting Seroxat side effects on the Yellow Card (YC) Adverse Reaction report system.

The resulting increase in YC registered complaints about Seroxat - only served to distort total adverse drug reaction (ADR) report figures. Ensuring they were even less accurate than they were/are - due to its voluntary status and many GPs complacency in using it.

The MHRA - already know all the issues you will raise - have all their answers and reply's off pat - you are the easy option - straightforward, uncomplicated, minimum effort for maximum coverage ...

the lawyers PR Co. will make sure it's covered by the media, and you will go away and crow about your 'meeting', all over the internet - good or bad - they won't be bothered! They have been seen to do the right thing - again.

They can put the file away - no doubt hoping the GSK Seroxat litigation reaches conclusion - before they need to see anyone else who wants to discuss what is now undeniably - a very well aired and publicised issue.

As I said earlier the MHRA are playing with you - by seeing you - THEY are being seen to listen and be doing something on the SSRI/SNRI issue and can ignore what is really happening!!

It's a rerun of the tobacco litigation!

The regulators, government, the industry and the lawyers colluded behind the scenes - work out a deal that show's positive action taken - whilst encompassing damage limitation to all parties involved ... with one exception -

the tobacco product users they had allowed to become hooked since the product was shown to cause illness and death.

All tobacco products now carry a warning box too. The government and regulators took action - whilst protecting the industry they rely on for considerable revenue - the lawyers made a fortune - result - even though a tad dented - business as usual.

In all honesty Bob - apart from hopefully getting to the court steps, obviously wanting to see GSK nailed - where do you see the campaign going from here?

Tues

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35528>

I'm going to apologise now for bring this thread up - I don't expect Bob to reply - but others have asked if I will complete the posts.

\*\*\*\*\*

Part 2

--- In uksurvivors@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <bob\_fid2000@...> wrote:

>".....

>

> On this issue of Seroxat Tub whatever...

>

> I write about Seroxat, I have a meeting because I requested a meeting.

> What is your issue with this?

>

> I do not know enough about Efexor or any other SSRi apart from Seroxat.

>

> If you or 'Tuesday' have a grip about other SSRi's why don't you do

> something constructive and start campaigning instead of slagging off

> other campaigners.

>

>....."

Bob

Sorry about the delay in finishing these post - my time on the boards has been short this week and the time I did have I prioritised to speak to Sarah about the drugs.

If the MHRA have asked you to come up with a way of helping people get off SSRIs - maybe what I have written to Sarah will be useful - but I should tell you - what I have written is only part of how we get people off the drugs - everyone is different - every withdrawal is different - and the nutritional side plays a big part in controlling symptoms and recovery.

I suspect you thought I wouldn't bother to finish these posts as you've had your meeting with the MHRA - sorry to disappoint you - but your meeting wasn't the only reason I asked the questions, people involved are still wanting answers.

IF the GSK litigation leads to a settlement - I say IF - because Mark Harvey of Hugh James has history of involvement with other drug class actions that have collapsed - before reaching settlement - but after netting considerable funds from the Legal Services Commission (LSC).

But - IF there is a settlement - what will the litigants gain?

We asked this question in 2002 on the Lawyers SeroxatUserGroup (SUG) support forum -

It was obviously considered imperative to the class action, the lawyer and cases credibility for LSC funding - where client numbers count - to keep the answer from the litigants who had and would continue to sign up through the SUG website.

So imperative in fact - when the question didn't go away - the SUG forum and website `owners' response was to move the support board twice to different networks - via "guesting" on another campaigner's forum which suffered as a result.

Because the question still wouldn't go away - the `owner' closed the SUG support forum - although the actual SUG website (meta linked lawyers) is still running.

The Lawyers have never openly answered the question. Neither has the `owner' of the SeroxatUserGroup web site and SeroxatUserGroup support forum - a barrister in her own right.

Derek Scott - owner of the first Seroxat-SeroxatUserGroup now (OSSG) - also liaising with the Lawyers - locked his forum down - allowing only Seroxat affected members and Seroxat related matter to be posted.

They didn't want to tell the litigants that -

If individual lump sums damages are awarded and the recipients are reliant on benefits -

Both IB (Incapacity Benefit) and DLA (Disability Living Allowance) payments will be stopped from the point of award. Previously paid benefits will be subject to the special "Claw Back" provision - whereby any compensation paid for loss of earnings and/or increased care and mobility needs which result in payment of those benefits can be clawed back by the DWP from any award. Whether future DLA claims would be allowed or successful is not known.

<http://www.dwp.gov.uk/cru/>

Only those granted IB or / and DLA benefits for illness and disability unrelated to (proof required) or prior to taking Seroxat will be unscathed by this. With the myriad of side effects now officially listed against Seroxat --and all SSRI / SNRIs-- proving anything wasn't caused by it - will be very difficult. Maybe - with your long standing depression and OA you will be one of the lucky ones.

IS (Income Support) - Housing (HB), Council Tax (CTB) and other means tested benefits will be impacted - because strict Capital limits apply - dependant on the amount awarded - benefit payments would be reduced or stopped.

Claimants would be able to reapply once award money was reduced below those limits - £6,000 before deductions apply. But they would have to prove they had not just spent the money to achieve this.

Even if they could prove what they spent the capital on - there is no guarantee benefits would be reinstated - and if they were - waiting time rules for some benefit payments to restart would be enforced as a new claimant.

Again - only non Seroxat related DLA claimants receiving the care component middle or higher rate would be relatively unscathed - as being in receipt of this - I think - automatically protects HB and CTB.

In reality only those litigants who are totally financially independent will gain anything - and those who rely on benefits will be considerably worse off - unless the individual awards are large enough to secure financial independence and security for life - unlikely for a faulty product claim.

The only other option is to put a total settlement award into a Trust Fund and pay the litigants as and when from that. This - as far as I can ascertain - is Mark Harvey's intended course of action.

I don't think I need to go any further than to draw comparison to the Thalidomide group action and settlement.

Forty years after the first settlements were paid to victims who withdrew negligence claims against Distillers (Biochemicals) Ltd - victims are still struggling to get adequate funding for their needs from the Trust and many victims have received no compensation or financial support.

The only people to really gain have been the lawyers and those employed to oversee the Trust fund.

Unless you -- as I believe are one of the few named litigants in the GSK Seroxat class action - know any different?

Tues

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35529>

\*\*\*\*\*

Part 3

--- In uksurvivors@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <bob\_fid2000@...> wrote:

>".....

>

> On this issue of Seroxat Tub whatever...

>

> I write about Seroxat, I have a meeting because I requested a meeting.

> What is your issue with this?

>

> I do not know enough about Efexor or any other SSRi apart from Seroxat.

>

> If you or 'Tuesday' have a grip about other SSRi's why don't you do something constructive and start campaigning instead of slagging off other campaigners.

>

>....."

Bob

The GSK Seroxat litigation is being brought solely on the grounds of Seroxat being a faulty product!

In past cases of 'faulty products' - two come to mind a teddy bear with an eye that came off and a felt tip pen lid. In both cases they caused one death when a child swallowed the item.

In both cases before the need for litigation the products were immediately removed from sale, recalled from shops and wholesalers, sold items requested to be returned to purchase point, purchasers given full refunds - and the manufacturers were taken to court, convicted and fined.

Serxat is a product that has caused deaths - the regulators have had to concede that point and ordered manufacturers to place warning to their products. This was a stated aim of the SeroxatUserGroup - one for which both Mark Harvey and Seroxat activists have taken credit.

But the regulators action of ordering a black box warning on the product packaging - has merely absolved to manufacturers of their responsibility - by shifting it from the manufacturer and placing it squarely on the shoulders of the individual patient.

By making these analogise - I'm not trying to trivialize Seroxat caused health issues, the litigation or actions taken by yourself and other Seroxat activists - I don't condemn the litigation ....

I'm just trying to put it into perspective - real time - to be honest about what has been achieved, look to where it is heading and who will actually really benefit?

The government, regulators and health authorities are hiding behind the Seroxat issue, using it as an excuse to not to look into or take action on other SSRI / SNRIs - as I said - the easy option.

They are using the GSK Seroxat litigation issue as damage limitation - possibly enforcing Department of Health (DoH) restrictions on what the media can or are allowed to publish and broadcast - and probably instructing officials to evade questions and mention of the other drugs in the class.

Most times I have written or spoken / speak to health officials - members of parliament and journalists on the SSRI / SNRI subject - I am actually met with hedging and similar fudged responses - along the lines of, but not limited too -

"Isn't that / aren't they the same as Seroxat? - that's being / has been - covered / dealt with!", and from Paul Flynn passing on an issue he's used and obviously grown tired of "Let the lawyers deal with it!", "Litigation is the way to go!".

The government, health and licensing authorities are hiding behind the GSK Seroxat litigation - because they don't want the situation escalated - what would they do if the Seroxat furore was

multiplied by 8? They have no idea how to get people off the drugs - and no other treatment or therapy set up to take its place if they do.

Yes - the Lawyer PR instigated and driven Seroxat campaign and its voracious campaigners in the UK have been successful. Seroxats' total prescription numbers have dropped by over 2 million since it started - over a 50% reduction

Take a moment to reflect on that - before ask -

Why haven't/won't the officials take up the SSRI / SNRI issue in its entirety or the media cover the effect the Seroxat furore has had on the drug class? I can assure you they do know about it -

and leave you with irrefutable proof which shows the magnitude of the problem left in the wake of the Seroxat furore - the true

UK Seroxat Campaigners Legacy

Tues

References:

<http://www.ic.nhs.uk/statistics-and-data-collections/primary-care/prescriptions/prescription-cost-analysis-2007>

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35530>

To Roundup

\*\*\*\*\*

Bob

sorry it would be rude not to just round off by mentioning events of the past week - so

Firstly - I just want to say that I hope your meeting with the MHRA went well - I look forward to seeing the minutes on your blog. Will be nice to see if your `constructive' can at last raise something above foundation level and maybe that they have answer some questions like `What exactly are the MHRA actually going to do about the drug class and ever increasing number of SSRI / SNRI prescription?

I was impressed by the articles you've blogged during the past week too - the 'GSK: Worst Drugs Ad Ever!' was a real scoop from another web site. Of course direct-to-consumer advertising of prescription drugs doesn't affect us in the UK, and it was a shame they didn't make it clear that it was warning people about changing their meds.

I hate to say this - because I know GSK are just looking at keeping their sales figures up. But in today's market with people short of money and so many counterfeit drugs openly for sale - especially in third world countries - maybe as the advert is / was about AIDS drugs - there might be a chance - they were acting responsibly too - to a point.

Also - someone involved with the ad campaign must have a sense of humour - with their choice of animals the lion and shark - they depict the pharmaceutical companies exactly for what they are. Whether seeking or keeping users of their drugs - predatory, territorial, unmerciful by nature and ruthlessly aggressive when attacking or cornered. Someone at least got that right!

Unlike the Pfizer web site and direct-to-consumers ads - which depict an innocuous ovaloid with a semi-quiff and nice smile, once treated - on every page a portrayal of different every day activities in homely settings. In other they use children's type building blocks making a wall, again graduated with sad to smiling faces. There's something really sinister about using innocent looking cartoon type characters - to entice people to take mind altering medication.

I was also wondering if you got your hacking / IP pishing sorted? You haven't mentioned it again - so I assume you have. Although I couldn't help but notice that raising the accusation that you were being hacked -- as was done many times on the SUG and OSSG forums, to whip up a plethora of frenzied paranoia based support from members -- wasn't quite as affective - on a one man blog.

I really thought you would have grown up, taken a more responsible view and left OSSG groups tactics behind when you branched out on your own!

Tues

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35531>

Roundup 2

\*\*\*\*\*

Bob

You really pleasantly surprised me when you posted the "Paxil Truth From Ten Year Addict" article on Saturday September 6<sup>th</sup> 2008.

At last an article that - although from the USA hence the use of the name Paxil instead of Seroxat - actually talked about SSRIs as a class of drugs. Again - quite a scoop - not overly accurate in part - like the paragraph stating -

"These side effects have put the drug companies into action to develop additional pills that counteract the side effects. The following pills have been developed to mitigate some of the side-effects:"

The list includes several established Parkinson drugs, and listing "amphetamine" the writer / journalist / editor should surely have realized would cast aspersions on the whole list and validity of the rest of the article - but it is still an article encompassing the drug class. I was momentarily swayed that as you put it in a derogatory post about Jeremy - "we are on the same page"!!

Until I read the article - at source!!!

You just couldn't help yourself could you Bob - the same old *SUG / OSSG* "Single Issue Seroxat Tub Thump" (*SISTT*) is alive and festering.

From Bob's Blog -

<http://fiddaman.blogspot.com/>

#### How SSRIs Work

SSRIs operate in the body at a cellular level. Unlike vitamins, these drugs are fat-soluble, psychotropic drugs, able to permeate through the walls of brain cells--as well as through most water filters, causing many SSRIs to be a contaminant in our water supply. Prozac or Fluoxetine was discovered when scientists began testing compounds to see which had an affect on brain functioning in rats. Many toxins such as Lithium have been used for years as anti-depressants, however the toxic side-effects of salts and metals is kidney damage after long-term usage.

SSRIs work by selectively inhibiting the reuptake process we feel when we are happy. Serotonin is the chemical released in the brain with happiness. Once this natural body chemical is released in the brain, there the reuptake process cleans up house and returns us to a normal state of being.

From Source -

[http://www.utahstories.com/paxil\\_addiction.htm](http://www.utahstories.com/paxil_addiction.htm)

" .....

SSRIs operate in the body at a cellular level. Unlike vitamins, these drugs are fat-soluble, psychotropic drugs, able to permeate through the walls of brain cells--as well as through most water filters, causing many SSRIs to be

a contaminant in our water supply. Prozac or Fluoxetine was discovered when scientists began testing compounds to see which had an affect on brain functioning in rats. Many toxins such as Lithium have been used for years as anti-depressants, however the toxic side-effects of salts and metals is kidney damage after long-term usage.



Popular SSRI brands include Prozac, Paxil Zoloft and others

SSRIs work by selectively inhibiting the reuptake process we feel when we are happy. Serotonin is the chemical released in the brain with happiness. Once this natural body chemical is released in the brain, there the reuptake process cleans up house and returns us to a normal state of being.

....."

Spot the deliberate omission?

Both articles will be posted in there entirety in separate posts.

You accuse GSK of lying, withholding information, corruption, deviousness - what you don't seem to understand is that by editing that list out of the article to keep it a Seroxat focused - you are lying, withholding information and being just as corrupt and devious as GSK are.

Your being no better!!

What you also don't seem to understand, is that by doing this -- a 6+ years long standing tradition on the OSSG board -- you have / are being disingenuous and bringing disrepute to every person and activist who speaks out about / against SSRI / SNRIs and the pharmaceutical industry in the UK - including your fellow\_Seroxat activists.

Tues

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35532>

Roundup 3

Bob

In the post on this board that I have been replying to - you said

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35277>

Re: A message for Bob Fiddaman

--- In uksurvivors@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <bob\_fid2000@...> wrote:  
>".....  
>  
> I do not know enough about Efexor or any other SSRI apart from Seroxat.  
> ....."

I found this surprising - that after 6+ years, campaigning in the UK about Seroxat (paroxetine) - you are still so unknowledgeable about the rest of the drugs in the class. But you have just demonstrated - that it is not surprising at all - after all it doesn't fit your agenda!!

It's is very sad though, when you consider how many of your fellow members on the *SUG* and *OSSG* forums, fellow litigants, comrades, `friends' - were - to their detriment - swapped to other drugs in the class including venlafaxine (Efexor), sertraline (Lustral), fluoxetine (Prozac) and **citalopram** (Cipramil).

They actually wrote on the *SUG* and *OSSG* forums about their horrendous experiences - yet you took no notice and actively stopped those who had knowledge of those drugs, those being willing and able to help from posting and were instrumental in removing all helpful information that did manage to get posted.

Ignoring their plights, you and the others just carried on obsessively ranting about Seroxat. What were they to your litigation and PR driven Seroxat campaign? Collateral damage? - dispensable, when they were no longer on Seroxat and out of the loop of credible proof that "Seroxat's The Worst Drug"?

As one of those who was a member before you and wanted to help - it was wretched to watch the most outspoken of the UK Seroxat activists (you included) as - metaphorically speaking - they've stepped over and on the corpses to get their names at top billing - achieve their own objective - their moments of triumph and glory!

As they've compared themselves on the US Paxil (paroxetine) Mafia! I differentiate, because having worked along side many of their most outspoken anti Paxil advocates - I know - they fought their fight with honour, campaigning never replaced compassion and care for allaffected - by what ever drug.

Campaigning would always be put aside to aide a person in crisis, for someone needing help and advice, even just a hand to hold or occasionally a reality check. They were always there - they never lost sight of the whole issue or the human element and the fact that these were / are real vulnerable people.

And despite the US Paxil litigation having reached a settlement - many are still working with those affected and campaigning on what is a drug class problem. If I were one of them - I would be appalled - to be associated with your activities and the UK Seroxat Campaign - even by default.

As I would - if I were one of your Seroxat Buddies.

As for the following comment

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35277>

Re: A message for Bob Fiddaman

....."  
> If you or 'Tuesday' have a grip about other SSRI's why don't you do  
> something constructive and start campaigning instead of slagging off  
> other campaigners.  
> ....."

Since 1999 - I have not stopped researching and working on the SSRI / SNRI issue and with those affected by them, I just took a different path from you, which has widened to encompass other drugs like Statins -- do you remember this post Bob?

" Original Message

From: ~tuesday1st"  
To: Seroxat User Group  
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2002 3:07 PM  
Subject: Seroxat User Group Hooked - concerned!!

Derek

This is great news, but I am still very concerned that you are stirring up a hornets nest with out a contingency plan!

I don't want to upset you at this time of triumph, and I know I have already voiced my concerns about this, but I still think the way this is heading it's going to put a lot of people at risk from their Dr's ignorance and incompetence.

Making people aware of Seroxat addiction and the ongoing court case against GSK is fine - but I feel your jeopardizing peoples health by not warning them about what to do other than giving out your site address and that of your solicitors.

Not everyone who reads the news paper articles will have net access or the ability when in a drug-fug induced state of panic to rationalize about the best course of action.

Some might just stop taking it, many will go to their Dr's because of the publicity and say they are not happy with this drug - their Dr's will merely offer them an alternative, another SSRI or worse.

This fact has already been demonstrated and proved by Faye's last visit to her Dr, luckily she new enough to know the additional problems this would cause and refused!

Derek fight your fight - but please look a little further than just nailing GSK - these are real and vulnerable people, just because you have the situation suss'd doesn't mean every one else has.

Tuesday"

You should do - it's a copy of the post you had me banned for posting on Scotts SUG forum. Even then I / we hung in there using aliases until shortly before Scott locked down the board and ousted nearly all of his members in 2005/6. But every time anything useful or advice was posted - it was obviously one of us and we were hounded, harassed and banned.

But we helped some - unfortunately not as many as we could have - had our initial offer of working along side the SeroxatUserGroups been accepted. And I can't help wondering how many more would have been saved from falling into the SSRI / SNRI trap if the Seroxat issue had been widened to encompass the drug class 6 years ago.

Sorry - Maybe I've been a little harsh - but I'm one of those who have had to support the people that your campaign has let down and cast aside.

Tues

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/41422>

Bob's back playing his favourite aggressive bully boy roll – again!!!!

Is English too hard for you Bob - when someone says they "do not take a drug" - it doesn't mean they have not taken the drug! You don't know what drugs J has been prescribed in the past

and

as far as sabotaging the Seroxat litigation - what litigation ??

Your problem is not Jeremy on here or whoever is writing the blog -

but your lack of wit and tenacity in setting out a counter argument to anything posted that in anyway points out a potential problem with either the case or those involved with it.

If you cannot counter point in a discussion or support your own cause here or on your own blog without degenerating to bullying abusive attacks, insults, and slurs on a personal level - I would say that you and your cause are lost.

Take care Bob

Happy New Year everyone -

Tues xx

Ps - I'm glad my copy of the March 1993 BNF is getting an airing.

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/41429>

>I'll number my responses for your ease 'Tuesday'

No need Bob - I notice your acerbic but not as abusive when you reply to me

> 1. Ha. Classic denial. Who was it that satarted all this

>crap 'Tuesday'?

Rattled Bob? Your spellings slipping - isn't that something you constantly call J (someone who has never hidden the fact he's dyslexic) stupid and a lot of other names for having a problem with – you do understand about the Disability Discrimination Act don't you?

And – who has denied what?

I can't make a denial on J's behalf - although I can comment on your lack of insight and knowledge

and

the fact that you are trawling the net in an obsessive manner that could be construed as stalking - another thing you persistently accuse J of.

Cataloguing and making comments on posts written some years ago - when he was withdrawing from several drugs he had been prescribed concurrently ---- not just one as you had too --- making no allowances for problems that would have caused -

then using those post to fuel your bullying harassment – say's a lot more about you than it does him - especially when you stoop low enough to involve details of his family!

Or are you still delusional about us being one and the same person and trying to tell everyone here that that I'm J making a denial?

Who started what?

In the UK ssrihelp4u was the first UK support board which I was moderator on before the Seroxat boards were opened.

Then Scott and Venns Seroxat boards were opened – Venns meta linked and with the lawyers backing and the lawyers started the litigation.

The animosity and bullying started on those two boards along with a "who has most members rating war" and an unhealthy "I'm more popular" contest between the owners.

Unfortunately some members who were introduced to the drug boards through these two boards have trailed the bullying animosity and the needy I'm more popular than you attitude around with them like a bad smell ever since.

I'm surprised you have to ask!

> I was born here so it shouldn't be.

Yes - but how many times have wished you were born in America where people can make a living out of being litigious?

>2. Awww, look at 'Tuesday' - check the dates out silly. One minute  
>it's Effexor... 7 days later it's Seroxat. What's the matter with the  
>simpleton? Can he not answer for himself?

And what's the matter with you?

>3.Nice one. You are even as deluded as he is... unless of course you  
>are one of his multiple personalities?

Oh! You are still delusional about us being one and the same person -

I would have thought, that as you have been in contact with another UK board owner - whom you have resorted to bullying to try and get them to sever ties with J - that by now you would have established that I am not J –

obviously when you converse with that person your more intent – as usual - on getting your own way - than getting facts!!

>4. What is your interest in the 'case'?

Why does my interest matter?

There are six sorts of people interested in the case –

the pharmaceutical industry who will always look after their own interests and profits –

those like fringe politicians who have used the case to raise their own profile and dropped it once it was old news –

those like the lawyers who are lining their own pockets –

those like you who are litigious, looking for a big payout, well enough to shout louder than others, self-interested enough to step over and on others to make sure they are at the front of the queue, self-seeking enough to need to raise their own profile and want others to put them on a pedestal and give them adulation and awards for their work for the "cause"!!

and unfortunately those who have been succoured into believing they will get some recompense for the problems the drug has caused – but who --- if they haven't already been dropped by the lawyers because they are not well enough to state their own cases or find a worthy advocate ---- will probably be let down and sorely disappointed.

And those who have observed the whole fiasco since its conception - can see it for the debacle that it is and be concerned enough for the very real and innocent victims of the drugs, lawyers and disreputable supposed support board owners to stand their ground and raise awareness

You choose !!!

>5. Me insult? Oh deary me 'Tuesday' - where have you been all these months?

Oh dear Bob! Your spellings slipped again!! Or is that being as puerile, insulting and defamatory as you are??

>Collection of Jeremy Bryce's vile posts can be seen here [pdf] -

><http://www.fileden.com/files/2008/5/6/1899375/Simpleton.pdf>

Your flaunting your obsessive stalking, harassment and defamatory (Simpleton) insulting of J again!!

>6. I'm glad I was given the opportunity to change it. Were you?

Have you changed it?

The proof of this will be in the printing – and – the worth of what will / may be printed which is as yet an unknown entity!!

They're not consulting with those who have been through it and / or have worked to find remedial solutions for the problems encountered in withdrawal – as I say it's still an unknown entity.

I would think twice about crowing about your achievement - which is as yet only a proposed change / addition to information that Seroxat should not be abruptly stopped and therefore has withdrawal problems acknowledged by and listed in the BNF since at least 1993 –

and taking credit for something that might be useless as a working tool to get people off SSRIs – I feel is risky and could back fire on the person taking the credit!

As with the swap to Prozac Venn / Healy withdrawal protocol which saw many people in a much worse place than they were and on multiple medications including antipsychotics.

I wonder if any of them have thought of taking legal action against Venn who put her name to the document and Scott and Venn for encouraging them to take that route to get off Seroxat?

You will never know what I've managed to get changed – but there again - unlike you I'm not in this for me – to raise my profile – or to succour gratitude and adulation from others!

>Poor old Jezza, runs for help when he cannot answer simple questions.

Maybe he considers your questions not worth answering –

and how about you actually answering some questions Bob – you've ignored mine all together – or can't you answer?

Seems to me you don't have any answers and your only recourse in any discussion or debate is to get nasty, attack or run away.

>How are those Probation Officers panties simpleton?

Great counter point to concerns raised about those running / involved with and the obvious flaws in the Seroxat litigation – I do hope your lawyers can come up with something more worthy of saying if it ever gets to court or your case is sunk!

Wouldn't it make more sense and be more productive if instead of stalking and attacking who you think is writing the blog - to use your blog to counter or disprove what is said or to distance the dubious connections pointed out -

all you do is keep saying the person is out to sabotage the litigation – if there is no substance to what they are writing and a valid rock solid case - how can they?

And are you honestly naive and simple enough to believe that GSK's Lawyers will not already have accessed and catalogued the information that is being blogged –

along with all your and other's indiscreet posts -- especially on the Lawyer backed supposed Seroxat support boards -- to use in their defence and to minimize liability!

Your rational has slipped more than your spelling - get a grip Fid!

Tues

Ps - What happened to the numbers?

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/41444>

Oh! That's slightly better - I suppose!

My email link on here works so why haven't you just emailed me - or do always expect everyone else to make all the running and do your bidding?

Although to be honest - I don't see that you have anything to say to me - that cannot be said on an open board - so have no intention of instigating an email exchange with you.

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/41456>

--- In uksurvivors@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <bob\_fid2000@...> wrote:

>

> I don't use Yahoo mail, your email is not readable to me, it just

> comes up as tuesday1st@

As yours does to me.

> **Whatever is written on these boards appears on the doppelganger blog  
> but of course is twisted in such a way to suit the authors needs.**

To the contrary - what is written here cannot be twisted or edited in any way and if it ends up on the doppelgangers blog with a direct URL link to the original it can be checked by any reader - you don't need to be a member to read the messages here - only to post.

Although I have noted articles appear on your blog which have been edited / twisted to suit your needs -

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35533>

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/35534>

that's why I chose not to take up your puerile challenge issued on the Mental Magazine board on Sep 11, 2008 which reads "You come play in my back yard."

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/mentalmagazine/message/12420>

as I said to you before - why would I jeopardize myself and my reputation by giving you the opportunity to edit anything I write.

> I'm unsure as to what questions it is I have failed to answer?

On here - August 23rd 08 onwards and then on MM as above.

> Would prefer it by email, at least that way if it does appear on

> Jeremy's blog we know who gave it to him.

I don't understand why you're so worried that what you want to say to me - will end up on an open board!

Who's Blog? Oh! you mean the doppelganger's!

> Anyway... sup to you.

Yes it is - and I would rather have a discussion on an open board.

> fiddaman64@...

As I said - just like mine.

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/41475>

I don't need to know about blogs to know that the person in control of any blog or website can save and keep copies of anything sent to it - along with the posters details before they pass it for publication on line or delete it. Once a copy is saved the saver can do what they want with it - edit it - quote it out of context - repost it - it's out of the sender's control.

I haven't changed my mind about emailing you and don't understand your reluctance to discuss what I have written here on an open board where it was posted.

Tues

---

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/41518>

Hm!!! Why doesn't that surprise me!?! Your just soo predictable!!!

But as you seem to have eventually accepted that I'm not J - I would just like to straighten out one other point -

I stand on my own Bob - always have - especially on the boards - just because I have been associated with other people does not mean that I have no discernment and cannot think for myself.

I find your comments in this post about me standing by J regardless and in your previous post which casts aspersions on my honesty and integrity somewhat patronizing, chauvinistic, sexist and insulting -

pots - black and kettles all come to mind when you comment on J's behaviour.

You seem to forget that I had spoken out on Scott's SUG board about my concerns for the innocent, vulnerable people looking for help and support -

those drawn into nothing more than a trap to swell the lawyers figures -

about sending out lawyer contact details in the new members "Welcome to the board" message

instead of information and advice about the drugs and how to approach Drs with their concerns -

about articles board members were encouraged to get published in local papers - void of any warnings - not to stop any medication abruptly -

and I was banned for doing that - and was sitting on Scott's SUG board under the guise of an alias - long before J even arrived .

So who did actually start all this?

And I have never wavered or changed my stand point - and have so far been proved right.

Just because people have identified the same corruption and share the same insight and understanding of an issue -

it doesn't mean that those people unquestioningly follow the others lead, are responsible for or condoning of their actions -

neither does it render them incapable of speaking for themselves or working and thinking independently.

Something the senseless pack mentality of those involved with the Seroxat fiasco - who are single minded - single issued and focused only on the financial goal and themselves - seem unable to comprehend.

They see anyone who has a different point of view or can see a glaring anomaly in the issues raised in the Seroxat campaign or a jeopardy to the success of that campaign and dares to point it out -

as a threat -

judged guilty without proof - in their own right or by association and immediately assigned the role of an enemy - who then becomes fair game to be hounded and vilified hopefully into submission.

So because I haven't submitted to the bullying - bowed to the mendacious manipulation and can point out the flaws in your actions and discourse - and don't ask "how high" when you say "jump" -

you do what -

try to turn it round and blame me - for what - your insecurities and insufficiencies!

I've already put myself out on several occasions and come to where your posting - here, the MM board and network54 - to try and get a debate started -

something that's impossible on a blog which is just a monologue of your agenda -

and something you said you had no problem with in a reply to me on the MM board

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/mentalmagazine/message/12420>

**"I have never had a problem with reasoned debate"**

But as usual - only on your terms - and then you baled out again before replying to my response

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/mentalmagazine/message/12421>

I've said if you want to email me you can through this board - it's very easy - all you have to do is click on the little envelope - beside the little sleepy face - under my ID at the end of each of my posts and it will come straight to my in box -

but having been a long standing member and moderator on Scott's board you know that!

You say you don't use Yahoo mail --- but you can --- I have an email from your blueyonder mail account - sent through Yahoo mail - sitting in my Yahoo mail in box - so your just choosing not too - on this occasion.

Oh !! and guess what - that email hasn't appeared on here or the doppelgangers blog !?!?!

I stand by everything 'I' have said to and asked you - you're the one who's reluctant to answer me - being picky about the venue and expecting me to contact you!!

Your right when you say "That's too bad." because all your achieving by not entering into a reasoned and rational debate, by not answering my questions, by not counter pointing with your aspect of the issues I've raised - is further denting your own credibility!!!

Tues


----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/44709>

Fiddy's obsession and harassment of me 'Tuesday' goes back to 2002 .....

although he say's he doesn't remember me lol He chose not to respond to my post here - but emailed me instead -

[http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3307/3586039738\\_b9de867972\\_o.jpg](http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3307/3586039738_b9de867972_o.jpg)



The screenshot shows a Yahoo! Mail interface. The inbox lists three messages:

	From	Subject	Date	Size
<input type="checkbox"/>	fiddaman	Post on your board	Wed, 27/8/08 15:31	5KB
<input type="checkbox"/>	Bob	Hello	Tue, 13/1/09 10:58	3KB
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	fiddaman	It's Bob	Tue, 13/1/09 12:34	33KB

The selected message is from fiddaman to tuesday1st@yahoo.co.uk. The subject is "It's Bob". The message body contains the following text:

I found your address via Google. Hope you don't mind? I don't use Yahoo mail as the interface bothers me. I'm up for a debate but just let me answer your points from your post on UKS. A certain deal of trust needs to happen between us Tuesday. I'm prepared to even offer you my phone number but must get to know you first. You seem to know an awful lot about me [apparently] but I know so little about you.

Anyway, let's get your first lot of questions/accusations out of the way

Hm!!! Why doesn't that surprise me?!? Your just soo predictable!!!  
Please will you stop making assumptions.  
But as you seem to have eventually accepted that I'm not J - I would just like to straighten out one other point - I don't know who you are... who are you?

I stand on my own Bob - always have - especially on the boards - just because I have been associated with other people does not mean that I have no discernment and cannot think for myself.  
I never said that you couldn't did I? I do think you have a bias to what Bryce tells you though. I'm allowed an opinion aren't I?  
I find your comments in this post about me standing by J regardless and in your previous post which castes aspersions on my honesty and integrity

I've never replied.

But you can see how he uses his Machiavellian tendencies to try and succor the reader to trust and have confidence in him.

He wants to know more – why ??

So he can use it to cajole, manipulate, control and threaten – to use others and to discredit others and to get his own way – to promote himself as a victim - place himself centre stage – elevate his status -

even above the cause he is supposed to be championing!!!

Another example from my blog

[http://tuesday1st.blogspot.com/2009/05/doh-1998-2008-england-ssri-snri\\_07.html](http://tuesday1st.blogspot.com/2009/05/doh-1998-2008-england-ssri-snri_07.html)


[http://farm3.static.flickr.com/2307/3585697279\\_3103a0e4ff\\_o.jpg](http://farm3.static.flickr.com/2307/3585697279_3103a0e4ff_o.jpg)

---

 BOB FIDDAMAN said...

And you are?

10 May 2009 16:51

 Tuesday said...

Not going to be bullied by you!

After all - aren't you the one who advised another female poster on your own Blog - that one should "out" bullies?

" ,that's enough for me.  
Cynders.

5:33 PM

BOB FIDDAMAN said...

One would then assume Cynders that you would befriend someone you witnessed bullying a woman?

I have no gripe with you, I do notice how you tend to keep out of things on UK Survivors. Sometimes though Cynders, it pays to 'out' bullies and perverts because the longer they continue to get away with what it is they do, the more vulnerable innocents become.

Thanks for your input, on here at least.

5:38 PM

<https://www.blogger.com/comment.g?blogID=10459981&postID=2158194675154537793>  
Posted by Fiddy at 15:24 0 comments"

Does that include you? Because being a bully is the impression you're giving here?

It's also very Machiavellian to play on another persons own, openly voiced concerns and vulnerabilities to try and assuage your own intentions!

You can also understand how a naïve child, adolescence or unworldly young adult - as in the case of the person responding to Bob Fiddaman on the jeremybryce1953.blog - would be sucked in by him too - to defend themselves.

Jeremy and I have been targets of Derek Scott and Bob Fiddaman for years – for posting advice about SSRIs and other drugs on Scotts SUG / OSSG board – long before Fiddaman brought his obsession to this and other boards and to the blogs.

- from Derek Scotts SUGs board 2004 –

[http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3404/3586485454\\_d8753a9555\\_o.jpg](http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3404/3586485454_d8753a9555_o.jpg)

**Yahoo! Health**

Search

**Messages** [Messages Help](#)

[Reply](#) | [Forward](#) | [View Source](#) | [Unwrap Lines](#)  [Search Archive](#)

Message 16571 of 16574 | [Previous](#) | [Next](#) | [Up Thread](#) | [Message Index](#)    Msg #  [Go](#)

[Home](#)  
▶ [Messages](#)  
  [Post](#)  
  [Chat](#)  
  [Files](#)  
  [Photos](#)  
  [Polls](#)  
  [Members](#)  
  [Calendar](#)

---

[Promote](#)

★ = Owner  
☆ = Moderator  
☺ = Online

**From:** "fiddaman64" <[fiddaman64@b...](mailto:fiddaman64@b...)>  
**Date:** Mon Apr 12, 2004 5:02 pm  
**Subject:** Re: Seroxat User Group Warning to all members

----- Original Message ----- ADVERTISEMENT  
**From:** [Mr Derek D Scott](#)  
**To:** [seroxat@yahooogroups.com](mailto:seroxat@yahooogroups.com)  
**Sent:** Monday, April 12, 2004 2:45 PM  
**Subject:** Seroxat User Group Warning to all members

There appear to be trouble makers in our midst who are intent upon frightening people. Yes Jeremy/Morse and Tuesday we are aware of your presence in this group **be warned** that if you cause any problems to any member I will personally pass on your details to both your ISP and the necessary law enforcement agencies for causing undue harassment.

Derek. (1 of 3 Group Moderators)

Bring him on Derek - I'm just in the mood for some fisticuffs.

Fiddy

I'm sure I have earlier screen dumps in my archives - I'll look some out.

He's been relentless in trying to stop us posting - searching to trace us - even in the pre fiddaman.blog era - which I think constitutes stalking -

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/18701?threaded=1&l=1>

[http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3308/3585670767\\_44d7d21719\\_o.jpg](http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3308/3585670767_44d7d21719_o.jpg)

Message #   Search:   Advanced[Start Topic](#)**ah ! the forth moderator is unto me ....fiddy thinks I live in Popl**[Message List](#)

Reply | Forward Message #18701 of 44168 < Prev | Next >

---

**From:** fiddaman64  
**Date:** 04/19/04 20:07:54  
**To:** ecyrb.m.jj  
**Subject:** Re: fight GSK at SSRI-Crusaders paxil/seroxat/aropax fwd from Bob fiddaman64@...

I am onto you.

I know that you are from Poplar in London and am working on your exact address.

Stay safe and watch out for the white van.

Fri Nov 4, 2005 6:47 pm

[Show Message Option](#)

---

**"bryce\_jj"**  
<jeremy.bryce@...>  
[bryce\\_jj](#)  
Offline  
[Send Email](#)

---

[Forward](#)

Message #18701 of 44168 < Prev | Next >

To discredit us and use any information posted to cause problems.

I was even used as an excuse to ban members from Derek Scotts SUG / OSSG – like Tana in December 2005

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/19767?threaded=1>

Interesting thread another SUGs member states he had been accused of being both Tuesday and Bryce.

[http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3556/3585775311\\_20e4e5f64e\\_o.jpg](http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3556/3585775311_20e4e5f64e_o.jpg)

Search for other groups... Search

Home  
Messages  
Post  
Attachments  
Files  
Photos  
Links  
Database  
Polls  
Members  
Calendar

Promote

Groups Labs (Beta)  
Applications

Yahoo! Groups Tips  
Did you know...  
Hear how Yahoo! Groups has changed the lives of others.  
Take me there.

Best of Y! Groups  
Check them out

Having problems with message search? Fill out this form to ensure your group is one of the first to be migrated to the new message search system.

Messages Messages Help

Message #  Go Search:  Search Advanced Start Topic

Just joined Message List

Reply | Forward Message #19767 of 44700 < Prev | Next >

Mon Dec 26, 2005 11:12 pm  
Show Message Option

"cafarg47"  
<cafarg47@...>  
cafarg47  
Offline  
Send Email

Hi i am Lynn/tana, some of you know me and others not i was being talked about on here just before christmas, i don't mind the reason behind it was obvious i had been thrown off Dereks group for no good reason at all. one of the reasons cited was that i was sat next to Tuesday at a meeting with MP's in the House of Commons in January 2005 below is an extract accusing me of this

"Additionally during the January 2005 meeting of MP's and patients you were sat beside Tuesday another of Cyndi's cronies, which to me is a red flag that you are a friend of her as well. At the time I gave you the benefit of the doubt"

The above is an extract from an e-mail i received from Derek.

So i wondered Tuesday whether you minded telling me if you were at the meeting or not, as i was not aware of this, i know i was not sitting next to you as i was sat between my daughter and my MP, i didnt think you were there, and if you were there sorry i didnt get a chance to meet and talk to you. So if you dont mind would you let me know if you were there or not then i will know if i am being lied too.

Thanks and hope its not a problem

lynn

This was before Bob opened his blog and when he was a moderator on Scotts SUGs / OSSG group - so he would have been instrumental in this action too.

Needless to say - I wasn't at the meeting!

We were actively excluded.

[http://farm3.static.flickr.com/2451/3585224875\\_c39fa690a6\\_o.jpg](http://farm3.static.flickr.com/2451/3585224875_c39fa690a6_o.jpg)

up  
Mark Harvey

YOU MISS A DOSE

Mark Harvey of Hugh James solicitors is co-ordinating possible litigation on behalf of UK users. For more information, please visit [http://web.archive.org/web/20041011045056/http://www.hughjames.com/dsp\\_home.cfm](http://web.archive.org/web/20041011045056/http://www.hughjames.com/dsp_home.cfm) or email [seroxat@hughjames.com](mailto:seroxat@hughjames.com).

Message from Mark Harvey, Hugh James Solicitors

Because of the sheer volume of people still joining the Group Action I am unable to communicate with everyone as frequently as I would like to. However as an update, you should know that during the year the Users Group and I have orchestrated the campaign against the drug and we have obtained the following victories:-

- The institution of a Government review into Seroxat; (this has already worked one triumph, a press and public announcement of the dangers of the drug with suicide and the ban of its prescription to minors)
- The acceptance of the Review team that it will accept the actual evidence of experiences of my clients on Seroxat without needing doctor involvement (the mechanics of this are still being addressed)
- For the first time in the 13 years of this drug, the manufacturers have agreed to change the Patient warning sheets to deal with the question of addiction.

With a combination of the preliminary form of self-administered public funding, legal expense insurance and private funding we are progressing the generic investigations and preparing for the appeal on the initial decision to refuse public funding. The granting of the full public funding on appeal will enable us to move forward to the issue of proceedings if necessary. At present the Legal Services Commission tell us the appeal will be September or October however I am challenging them to bring it forward.

I will endeavour to keep you informed both through the web site and wherever possible in individual communications.

Best wishes  
Mark Harvey  
Hugh James, Solicitors

DISCLAIMER: The material on this website is provided for information purposes only and is not a substitute for medical or psychiatric evaluation and treatment. The Seroxat Users Group is not engaged in providing professional services or medical advice to the

I would have thought all Mr Harveys clients – especially members of the SeroxatUserGroups would have been privy to such important information!!

But it was obviously only the SUG board owners and possibly the moderators of which Mr Fiddaman was one – I wonder how many of his current Blog supporters were kept ignorant of many important bits of information too?

We gave out helpful advice about the drugs, withdrawal, drug / drug interactions and side effects on the SUG / OSSG board which was frowned on - because the ethos of the `supposed' Seroxat Support Groups wasn't to support and help - but to keep the members as ill as possible - for as long as possible!!

And here's why

[http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3414/3586471600\\_6b4c2e06c9\\_o.jpg](http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3414/3586471600_6b4c2e06c9_o.jpg)



The screenshot shows the HMCS (Her Majesty's Courts Service) website. The header includes the HMCS logo and a navigation bar with 'Home > Legal / Professional >'. A sidebar on the left lists various legal services. The main content area is titled 'Group Litigation Orders' and contains the following details:

- Group Litigation Order**
- Ref No:** 68
- Name of Group Litigation Order:** Seroxat Group Litigation
- Date of Order:** 29/10/08
- Judge:** The Senior Master of the Queen's Bench Division
- Court:** Queen's Bench Division
- Managing Court:** Royal Courts of Justice
- Lead Solicitors:** Hugh James Solicitors (Harmful Products Department) of Hodge House, 114-116 St Mary Street, Cardiff CF10 1DY
- Defining Issues:** Defining Issues: -Does Seroxat have a capacity to cause asverse effects consequent upon or following discontinuance (withdrawl) such as prevent or make more difficult the ability of users to discontinue, withdraw from or remain free from taking Seroxat to a greater extent than all other Selective Serotonin Re-uptake Inhibitors (SSRIs)

At the bottom of the page, it states: 'This page was last updated on 12 March 2007 11:52. Web Team.'

It was imperative to the litigation – which hangs on the very thin thread that Seroxat is worse than any other SSRI / SNRI to withdraw from!

The reason Mr Robert Bob Fid Fiddy Fiddaman Mann has and does persist in the hounding, harassment and defamatory blogging of those who try to raise awareness of the problems being Drug Class problems - not Seroxats -

---- BTW thank you for admitting you are responsible for the Bryce Blogs contents – good one Bob :) ----

[http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3601/3586075255\\_55b2b82a04\\_o.jpg](http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3601/3586075255_55b2b82a04_o.jpg)

pointed above (I've yet to read all your posts so I'm unsure if there is more) incorrect, this could affect their job prospects both while at and after university as this blog is easily found via a simple Google search. All four of them have been trying, since his arrest and removal, to distance themselves as far as possible from Jeremy and the bad aura he tends to cause.

**JEREMY BRYCE** // June 1, 2009 at 9:53 am | Reply



Thanks for setting the record straight Steve. I'm in the process of re-editing this blog, in particular instances that refer to his ex-wife and children.

Your information has proved to be invaluable, if you have anything else, photo's or other stories, please feel free to drop me a line.

For years, Jeremy Bryce has been bullying people – this blog was created to raise awareness about his bullying.

Like I say, names will be redacted and paragraphs deleted – you may wish to inform the powers that be regarding the claims of Bryce on the UK Survivor's forum.

Thank you once again for taking time out to set the record straight.

and of those who are not interested in the litigation was made very clear by the comment he left on my blog –

[http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3380/3586604574\\_5fb78e8506\\_o.jpg](http://farm4.static.flickr.com/3380/3586604574_5fb78e8506_o.jpg)

6 comments:

 Fiddy said...

*This post has been removed by the author.*  
08 May 2009 02:18

 Fiddy said...

Interesting.

Are these figures for the whole of the UK or just England?

What point are you trying to make, it's unclear, unless of course, you are making claims that people who have suffered at the hands of Seroxat shouldn't have their day in court?

Is that your position?

It would also be credible if you added your full name to your work, that way you could at least stand by your convictions/opinions.


Why have you chose not to do this?

08 May 2009 02:24

a blog which doesn't include information about withdrawal –

but does show that Total Prescriptions of Paroxetine aka Seroxat have reduced considerably since the USA litigation was announced in 2001

[http://tuesday1st.blogspot.com/2009/05/doh-1998-2008-england-ssri-snri\\_07.html](http://tuesday1st.blogspot.com/2009/05/doh-1998-2008-england-ssri-snri_07.html)



I will quote again –

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/41429>

".....all you do is keep saying the person is out to sabotage the litigation – if there is no substance to what they are writing and a valid rock solid case - how can they?"  
Sun Jan 11, 2009 1:02 pm

I'm not even going to bother to list Mr Fiddamans character flaws and traits - I don't think members of this board need them spelling out. I thought he had stooped low when he involved his own children – but to exploit someone else's is even lower.

Oh! And before Bob jumps on the Tana thread which includes a post I wrote to Cyndi - and tries to use what is written in some way to um ... embarrass me or to fantasize about and fabricate another load of b(1)ogroll – it say's I had a dog called Lucy and am a grandmother – probably not what he was expecting and possibly something he'll try and exploit!

So now I'll leave you with a few questions: –

The litigation --- which is Bobs main concern and one he will go vehemently to any length to protect--- was only announced in the court on October 29th 2008 -

I have been stopped from giving advice or helping those who were having problems with the drugs on the closed (locked down) Lawyer launched SUGs, Sarah Venns and Derek Scotts SUGs / OSSG board since 2002 –

and been attacked by Bob and other Seroxat bloggers if I post anything about SSRI / SNRIs but not specifically Seroxat orientated .....

Did the Seroxat User Groups owners know haw insubstantial the Seroxat Class Action case was back in 2002?

Has Mark Harvey of Hugh James been instrumental in or even orchestrated the whole situation that has evolved – used the User Groups to achieve his objective - because his Seroxat Class Action case depended on the people trapped in the closed Seroxat boards not getting help to get off the drug - and being kept as ill as possible – for as long as possible?

What sort of people would do this?

Tues

PS – Bob – Can anything you have posted on your two other blogs be referenced or is it like so much you have written in the past - all hearsay and conjecture?

PS - Doppelganger - I hope this will be useful to the person running the doppelganger blog – Bob Fiddaman was harassing and stalking me long before it was set up – in fact long before Jeremy joined the drug boards.

Ps – Stephen – I have warned a lot of people about posting on the internet drug boards and about places where it's best not to post at all – but I think maybe I would think twice about posting with or trusting the person who wrote this

#### THE DARK

Don't be afraid as I enter your room  
Just let your mind drift like a floating balloon.  
I've come to take the remains of the day,  
Go deeper little one and let your mind stray.  
Dream of the swings over the park,  
Hurry now small child for it will soon be dark.  
If you wake up you'll have nothing to fear  
Just sit up in bed and let your mind clear.  
For I am your friend, I mean you no harm,  
So don't be afraid, stay cool, stay calm.  
Let your eyes wander through my blanket of black  
And don't wish me away for I'll always come back.  
It's light that is evil as it enters your world,  
Ordering you to see things that make your toes curl.  
So don't be afraid as your day turns to night  
Just remember I'm here to cast out bad light.

© BOB FIDDAMAN

----

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/uksurvivors/message/45883>

The following posts are from the Bob Fiddaman doppelganger Blog

## Matthew Schultz, died two hours after birth from apparent PPHN caused by Effexor - see post 34295 SSRI-Crusaders

**\*RADIO: Father of Effexor baby (Matthew Schultz) Amery Schultz on Na**

Reply | Forward | Delete

Message #34

A national radio program in Canada has been discussing psychiatry as of late and the website is:  
<http://www.charlesadler.com/>

The show today features an interview with Amery Schultz, the father of Matthew Schultz, who died two hours after birth from apparent PPHN caused by Effexor exposure during pregnancy. Christiane Schultz was taking Effexor for several years and had problems with several pregnancies, culminating in the death of Matthew on February 21, 2009.

Their blog Two Hours With Matthew is at <http://twohours.wordpress.com/>

You can find the station information on the left side of Charles Adler's webpage. There should be another link coming out later that will go to the show, and there may be an audiostream on the right side later. As of right now it's not on the website, but Amery is supposed to send me a link. If you are in Canada you might be able to tune in.

Here is Matthew's memorial video on my youtube channel:  
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qnxw2ufSug>

Don't forget to participate in our fax campaign against The MOTHERS Act. There should be a story coming out in a major national magazine regarding the bill this month, so let's get those faxes in to the HELP Committee ahead of the article. Please go to <http://www.box.net/shared/810k0b8g7> and print a PDF that you can fax to the Senate: <http://tinyurl.com/HELPSenate>

<http://health.groups.yahoo.com/group/SSRI-Crusaders/message/34295>

[click image to enlarge](#)

Posted by BOB FIDDAMAN at 08:56 0 comments

## "Tiny feet"- from Two hours with Matthew blog



These are my tiny footprints, so perfect and so small.

These tiny footprints, never touched the ground at all.

Not one tiny footprint, for now I have my wings.

These tiny footprints were meant for other things.

You will hear my tiny footprints, in the patter of the rain.

Gentle drops like angel tears, of joy and not from pain.

You will see my tiny footprints, in each butterflies' lazy dance.

I'll let you know I'm with you, if you give me just a chance.

You will see my tiny footprints, in the rustle of the leaves.

I will whisper names into the wind, and call each one that grieves.

Most of all, these tiny footprints, are found in mommy's heart.  
'cause even though I'm gone now, we've never truly part

<http://twohours.wordpress.com/>

Posted by BOB FIDDAMAN at 09:01 0 comments

## Matthew Schultz - murdered by Wyeth, the Mark Harvey's & the greedy Fiddaman's, who would have you believe Seroxat is the worst SSRI

live birth, full term, went in to respiratory and subsequent cardiac arrest at just over 1 hour. Autopsy showed Persistent Pulmonary Hypertension caused by venlafaxine toxicity.



Posted by BOB FIDDAMAN at 09:14 0 comments

## So you think you have problems Fiddaman - neonatal outcomes for Christiane Schultz who was taking Effexor for several years

1. 2001, miscarriage at 8 weeks gestation with no known cause
2. 2002, live birth, full term, persistent Heart murmur
3. 2004, live birth, full term, extreme low birth weight, malformed lungs w/ PPHN, diagnosed with "Failure to Thrive", with diminished breathing capacity to this day
4. 2006, live birth, full term, heart murmur that subsided after 1st birthday.
5. 2009, live birth, full term, went in to respiratory and subsequent cardiac arrest at just over 1 hour. Autopsy showed Persistent Pulmonary Hypertension caused by venlafaxine toxicity.

<http://twohours.wordpress.com/>

Posted by BOB FIDDAMAN at 09:28 0 comments

Cyndi only a vile and nasty person would use such posts to paint themselves as 'victim' .....

from Bob's fiddaman.blogspot.com

A quick click of the mouse button to Jeremy Bryce's stalking blog shows two posts, the headlines: *'So you think you have problems Fiddaman - neonatal outcomes for Christiane Schultz who was taking Effexor for several years'* and *'Matthew Schultz - murdered by Wyeth, the Mark Harvey's & the greedy Fiddaman's, who would have you believe Seroxat is the worst SSRI'*

And Mardi Bennett thinks I'm harassing and stalking her?

Fid

SEROXAT SUFFERERS STAND UP AND BE COUNTED

LETTER FROM MEDICAL OF MHRA

MHRA RESPONSE LETTER FROM MEDICAL OF

because she was taking Effexor not Seroxat - this was Bob Fiddamans response!

He considers himself worse off than the mother - more important than a two hour old dead baby.

This is the sort of person you choose to believe - the sort of person you're supporting!!

Tues